arXiv:1208.0701v2 [cs.NA] 2 Sep 2012

NUMERICAL COMPUTATIONS FOR REAL NUMBER SYSTEM BY LOGICAL CALCULUS

PITH XIE

Abstract. The logical calculus for real number system produces new real numbers with new operations. New operations naturally produce new equations and thus expand the traditional mathematical models which are selected to describe various scientific rules. So new operations can describe complex scientific rules which are difficult described by traditional equations and have an enormous application potential. As to the equations including new operations, engineering computation often need the approximate solutions reflecting an intuitive order relation and equivalence relation. However, the order relation and equivalence relation of real numbers are not as intuitive as those of decimal expansions. Thus, this paper introduces numerical computations to approximate all real numbers with decimal expansions.

1. Introduction

In 2011, we defined a logical calculus to denote number systems[1]. The number systems by logical calculus show typical features as follows:

1. The logical calculus provides a uniform frame for arithmetic axioms. Based on the same logical calculus, small number system can import new axioms to produce big number systems.

2. Consistent binary relation are the nature of number systems. The order relation and equivalence relation of each number system is consistent, so all numbers of each number system are layed in fixed positions of a number line.

3. The number systems unify the numbers and operations as a whole, and the only number 1 and various operations compose all numbers. So operation distinguishes different number systems and is the foremost component of number system.

4. The logical calculus for real number system produces new real numbers with new operations. While producing new real numbers in arithmetic, the new operations certainly produce new equations and inequalities in algebra. So the logical calculus not only extends real number system, but also extends equations and inequalities.

In conclusion, the number systems by logical calculus forms a new arithmetic axiom. Unless otherwise specified, the number systems, numbers and operations in this note are denoted by the logical calculus. Since operations distinguish all number systems, we can furtherly define new operations according to the definition of number systems, as is shown in Table 1. In each row, the column 'Number System' stands for the name of number system, the column 'Operation' stands for the name of operations, the column 'Operators' stands for the operators of some operation.

Real operations naturally produce new equations such as $y = [x++++[1+1]]$, $y = [[1+1]----x]$, $y = [x////[1+1]]$ and so on. In other words, real operations expand

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 65H05; Secondary 49M25, 03B10, 03D05.

Table 1. Definitions Of New Operations.

Number System	**Operation**	**Operators**
natural number system	natural operation	$+$
integral number system	integral operations	$+, -$
rational number system	rational operations	$+, ++, -, --$
real number system	real operations	$+, ++, +++, ++++, \cdots,$ $-, --, ---, ----, \cdots,$ $/, //, ///, ////, \cdots$

the traditional mathematical models which are selected to describe various scientific rules. So real operations can describe complex scientific rules which are difficult described by traditional equations and have an enormous application potential.

As to the equations including real operations, engineering computation often need the approximate solutions reflecting an intuitive order relation and equivalence relation. Although the order relation and equivalence relation of real numbers are consistent, they are not as intuitive as those of decimal expansions. In practice, it is quicker to determine the order relation and equivalence relation of decimal expansions. So we introduce numerical computations to approximate real numbers with decimal expansions.

[1, Theorem 2.3] implies that decimal expansions are dense in the real numbers with the usual topology. So in numerical computations for real number system, all operands and outputs are denoted by decimal expansions to intuitively show the order relation and equivalence relation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we revise real number system to facilitate numerical computations. In Section 3, we introduce the root-finding methods for a special equation. In Section 4, we introduce the numerical computations for real number system.

2. Revision For Real Number System

The following is the revision for real number system, which can facilitate numerical computations..

Definition 2.1. Real number system is a logical calculus $R\{\Phi, \Psi\}$ such that:

$$\Phi\{$$

$$V\{\emptyset, a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l\}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$C\{\emptyset, 1, +, [,], -, /, \top, \perp, \_\}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$P\{\emptyset, \in, \subseteq, \rightarrow, |, =, <, \leq, \|\}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &V \circ C\{\emptyset, a, b\cdots, 1, +\cdots, aa, ab\cdots, a1, a+\cdots, ba, bb\cdots, b1, b+\cdots,\\ &aaa, aab\cdots, aa1, aa+\cdots, baa, bab\cdots, ba1, ba+\cdots\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$C \circ C\{\emptyset, 1, +\cdots, 11, 1+\cdots, 111, 11+\cdots\}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &V \circ C \circ P\{\emptyset, a, b\cdots, 1, +\cdots, \in, \subseteq\cdots, aa, ab\cdots, a1, a+\cdots, a\in, a\subseteq\cdots,\\ &ba, bb\cdots, b1, b+\cdots, b\in, b\subseteq\cdots, aaa, aab\cdots, aa1, aa+\cdots, aa\in, aa\subseteq\cdots,\\ &baa, bab\cdots, ba1, ba+\cdots, ba\in, ba\subseteq\cdots\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

(2.7) $(\hat{a} \in V) \Leftrightarrow ((\hat{a} \equiv \emptyset) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv a) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv b) \cdots),$

(2.8) $(\hat{a} \in C) \Leftrightarrow ((\hat{a} \equiv \emptyset) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv 1) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv +) \cdots),$

(2.9) $(\hat{a} \in (V \circ C)) \Leftrightarrow ((\hat{a} \equiv \emptyset) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv a) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv b) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv 1) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv aa)$
$\vee (\hat{a} \equiv ab) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv a1) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv aaa) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv aab) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv aa1) \cdots),$

(2.10) $(\hat{a} \in (C \circ C)) \Leftrightarrow ((\hat{a} \equiv \emptyset) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv 1) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv +) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv 11) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv 1+) \cdots$
$\vee (\hat{a} \equiv 111) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv 11+) \cdots),$

(2.11) $(\hat{a} \in (V \circ C \circ P)) \Leftrightarrow ((\hat{a} \equiv \emptyset) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv a) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv b) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv \in) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv aa)$
$\vee (\hat{a} \equiv ab) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv a \in) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv aaa) \vee (\hat{a} \equiv aab) \cdots \vee (\hat{a} \equiv aa \in) \cdots),$

(2.12) $(\bar{a} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{b} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{c} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{d} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{e} \in (V \circ C))$
$\wedge (\bar{f} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{g} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{h} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{i} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge$
$(\bar{j} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{k} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{l} \in (V \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{\bar{a}} \in (V \circ C \circ P)) \wedge$
$(\bar{\bar{b}} \in (V \circ C \circ P)) \wedge (\bar{\bar{c}} \in (V \circ C \circ P)),$

(2.13) $((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}))) \wedge$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}))) \wedge$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{e}))) \wedge$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{e}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{f}))) \wedge$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{e}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{f})$
$\vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{g}))) \wedge$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{e}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{f})$
$\vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{h}))) \wedge$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}, \bar{i}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{e}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{f})$
$\vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{h}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{i}))) \wedge$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}, \bar{i}, \bar{j}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{e}) \vee$
$(\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{f}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{h}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{i}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{j}))),$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}, \bar{i}, \bar{j}, \bar{k}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{e}) \vee$
$(\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{f}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{h}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{i}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{j}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{k}))),$
$((\bar{a} \subseteq \{\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}, \bar{i}, \bar{j}, \bar{k}, \bar{l}\}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{c}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{d}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{e}) \vee$
$(\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{f}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{g}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{h}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{i}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{j}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{k}) \vee (\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{l}))),$

(2.14) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} = \bar{d}\bar{b}\bar{e}\bar{f}\bar{g}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}\}) \wedge \neg(\bar{f} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{g}\}) \wedge$
$((\bar{b} \to \bar{h}) \| (\bar{f} \to \bar{i})) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{h}\bar{c} = \bar{d}\bar{h}\bar{e}\bar{i}\bar{g}),$

(2.15) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\bar{e} = \bar{f}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}\}) \wedge \neg(\bar{d} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}\}) \wedge ((\bar{b} \to \bar{g}) \| (\bar{d} \to \bar{h}))$
$\Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{g}\bar{c}\bar{h}\bar{e} = \bar{f}),$

(2.16) $a \to 1|[aba],$

(2.17) $b \to +|-,$

(2.18) $c|d \to e|f|g,$

(2.19) $e \to +|+e,$

(2.20) $f \to -|-f,$

(2.21) $g \to /|/g,$

(2.22) $(h \to +)\|(i \to -),$

(2.23) $(h \to +h)\|(i \to -i),$

(2.24) $(i \to -)\|(h \to +),$

(2.25) $(i \to -i)\|(h \to +h),$

(2.26) $(h \to +)\|(j \to /),$

(2.27) $(h \to +h)\|(j \to /j),$

(2.28) $k \to [1+1]|[1+k],$

(2.29) $l \to 1|[1+l],$

(2.30) $a < [1+a],$

(2.31) $(\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow (([\bar{a}+\bar{c}] < [\bar{b}+\bar{c}]) \wedge ([\bar{a}-\bar{c}] < [\bar{b}-\bar{c}]) \wedge ([\bar{c}-\bar{b}] < [\bar{c}-\bar{a}])),$

(2.32) $([1-1] \leq \bar{a}) \wedge (\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{c}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}--\bar{c}] < [\bar{b}--\bar{c}]),$

(2.33) $([1-1] < \bar{a}) \wedge (\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{c}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{c}--\bar{b}] < [\bar{c}--\bar{a}]),$

(2.34) $(1 < \bar{a}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow (1 < [\bar{a}--f\bar{b}]),$

(2.35) $(1 < \bar{a}) \wedge (1 < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow ([1-1] < [\bar{a}/g\bar{b}]),$

(2.36) $(1 < \bar{a}) \wedge (\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow (1 < [\bar{b}/g\bar{a}]),$

(2.37) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \wedge (\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{c}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}e\bar{c}] < [\bar{b}e\bar{c}]),$

(2.38) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \wedge (1 \leq \bar{b}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{c}) \wedge ([\bar{a}e\bar{c}] < [\bar{b}e\bar{c}]) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} < \bar{b}),$

(2.39) $(1 < \bar{a}) \wedge ([1-1] \leq \bar{b}) \wedge (\bar{b} < \bar{c}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}e\bar{b}] < [\bar{a}e\bar{c}]),$

(2.40) $(1 < \bar{a}) \wedge ([1-1] \leq \bar{b}) \wedge ([1-1] \leq \bar{c}) \wedge ([\bar{a}e\bar{b}] < [\bar{a}e\bar{c}]) \Rightarrow (\bar{b} < \bar{c}),$

(2.41) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}-\bar{b}] = [\bar{a}/\bar{b}]),$

(2.42) $\bar{a} \wedge \neg(\bar{b} = [1-1]) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}--\bar{b}] = [\bar{a}//\bar{b}]),$

(2.43) $\bar{a} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}-\bar{a}] = [1-1]),$

(2.44) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}+\bar{b}] = [\bar{b}+\bar{a}]),$

(2.45) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \Rightarrow ([[\bar{a}-\bar{b}]+\bar{b}] = \bar{a}),$

(2.46) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ([[\bar{a}-\bar{b}]+\bar{c}] = [[\bar{a}+\bar{c}]-\bar{b}]),$

(2.47) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}+[\bar{b}+\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a}+\bar{b}]+\bar{c}]),$

(2.48) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}+[\bar{b}-\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a}+\bar{b}]-\bar{c}]),$

(2.49) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}-[\bar{b}+\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a}-\bar{b}]-\bar{c}]),$

(2.50) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}-[\bar{b}-\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a}-\bar{b}]+\bar{c}]),$

(2.51) $\bar{a} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}++1] = \bar{a}) \wedge ([\bar{a}--1] = \bar{a}),$

(2.52) $\neg(\bar{a} = [1-1]) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}--\bar{a}] = 1),$

(2.53) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}++\bar{b}] = [\bar{b}++\bar{a}]),$

(2.54) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}++[\bar{b}++\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a}++\bar{b}]++\bar{c}]),$

(2.55) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}++[\bar{b}+\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a}++\bar{b}]+[\bar{a}++\bar{c}]]),$

(2.56) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}++[\bar{b}-\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a}++\bar{b}]-[\bar{a}++\bar{c}]]),$

(2.57) $\bar{a} \wedge \neg(\bar{b} = [1-1]) \Rightarrow ([[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}] + +\bar{b}] = \bar{a})$,

(2.58) $\bar{a} \wedge \neg(\bar{b} = [1-1]) \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ((([[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}] + +\bar{c}] = [[\bar{a} + +\bar{c}] - -\bar{b}]) \wedge$
$([[\bar{a} + \bar{c}] - -\bar{b}] = [[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}] + [\bar{c} - -\bar{b}]]) \wedge ([[\bar{a} - \bar{c}] - -\bar{b}] =$
$[[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}] - [\bar{c} - -\bar{b}]]))$,

(2.59) $\bar{a} \wedge \neg(\bar{b} = [1-1]) \wedge \neg(\bar{c} = [1-1]) \Rightarrow ((([\bar{a} + +[\bar{b} - -\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a} + +\bar{b}] - -\bar{c}]) \wedge$
$([\bar{a} - -[\bar{b} + +\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}] - -\bar{c}]) \wedge ([\bar{a} - -[\bar{b} - -\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}] + +\bar{c}]))$,

(2.60) $\bar{a} \Rightarrow ([\bar{a} + + + 1] = \bar{a}) \wedge ([\bar{a} - - - 1] = \bar{a})$,

(2.61) $\bar{a} \Rightarrow ([1 + + + \bar{a}] = 1)$,

(2.62) $\neg(\bar{a} = [1-1]) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a} + + + [1-1]] = 1)$,

(2.63) $([1-1] < \bar{a}) \Rightarrow ([[1-1] + + + \bar{a}] = [1-1])$,

(2.64) $([1-1] < \bar{a}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} = [1-1]) \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ((([[\bar{a} - - - \bar{b}] + + + \bar{b}] = \bar{a}) \wedge$
$([[\bar{a} - - - \bar{b}] + + + \bar{c}] = [[\bar{a} + + + \bar{c}] - - - \bar{b}]) \wedge ([\bar{a} + + + [\bar{c} - -\bar{b}]] =$
$[[\bar{a} + + + \bar{c}] - - - \bar{b}]))$,

(2.65) $([1-1] < \bar{a}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{b}) \wedge \bar{c} \Rightarrow ((([\bar{a} + + + [\bar{b}///\bar{a}]] = \bar{b}) \wedge$
$([[\bar{a} + + + \bar{c}]///\bar{b}] = [\bar{c} + +[\bar{a}///\bar{b}]]) \wedge ([[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}] + + + \bar{c}] =$
$[[\bar{a} + + + \bar{c}] - -[\bar{b} + + + \bar{c}]]))$,

(2.66) $([1-1] < \bar{a}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{b}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{c}) \Rightarrow ((([[\bar{a}///\bar{c}] - -[\bar{b}///\bar{c}]] =$
$[\bar{a}///\bar{b}]) \wedge ([[\bar{a} + +\bar{b}]///\bar{c}] = [[\bar{a}///\bar{c}] + [\bar{b}///\bar{c}]]) \wedge ([[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}]///\bar{c}] =$
$[[\bar{a}///\bar{c}] - [\bar{b}///\bar{c}]]))$,

(2.67) $\neg(\bar{a} = [1-1]) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} = [1-1]) \wedge \neg(\bar{c} = [1-1]) \Rightarrow ((([[\bar{a} + +\bar{b}] + + + \bar{c}] =$
$[[\bar{a} + + + \bar{c}] + +[\bar{b} + + + \bar{c}]]) \wedge ([\bar{a} + + + [\bar{b} + +\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a} + + + \bar{b}] + + + \bar{c}])$
$\wedge([\bar{a} + + + [\bar{b} + \bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a} + + + \bar{b}] + +[\bar{a} + + + \bar{c}]]) \wedge ([\bar{a} + + + [\bar{b} - \bar{c}]] =$
$[[\bar{a} + + + \bar{b}] - -[\bar{a} + + + \bar{c}]]))$,

(2.68) $([1-1] < \bar{a}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} = [1-1]) \wedge \neg(\bar{c} = [1-1]) \Rightarrow ((([\bar{a} - - - [\bar{b} + +\bar{c}]] =$
$[[\bar{a} - - - \bar{b}] - - - \bar{c}]) \wedge ([\bar{a} - - - [\bar{b} - -\bar{c}]] = [[\bar{a} - - - \bar{b}] + + + \bar{c}]))$,

(2.69) $([1-1] < \bar{a}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{b}) \wedge \neg(\bar{c} = [1-1]) \Rightarrow ((([[\bar{a} + +\bar{b}] - - - \bar{c}] =$
$[[\bar{a} - - - \bar{c}] + +[\bar{b} - - - \bar{c}]]) \wedge ([[\bar{a} - -\bar{b}] - - - \bar{c}] =$
$[[\bar{a} - - - \bar{c}] - -[\bar{b} - - - \bar{c}]]))$,

(2.70) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a} + e1] = \bar{a})$,

(2.71) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a} + +e[1-1]] = 1)$,

(2.72) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a} - f1] = \bar{a})$,

(2.73) $([1-1] \leq \bar{a}) \Rightarrow ([1 + +e\bar{a}] = 1)$,

(2.74) $([1-1] < \bar{a}) \Rightarrow ([1 - -f\bar{a}] = 1)$,

(2.75) $(1 < \bar{a}) \Rightarrow ([1//g\bar{a}] = [1-1])$,

(2.76) $(1 < \bar{a}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a}/g\bar{a}] = 1)$,

(2.77) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow ([[\bar{a}i\bar{b}]h\bar{b}] = \bar{a})$,

(2.78) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \wedge ([1-1] < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow ([[\bar{a}h\bar{b}]i\bar{b}] = \bar{a})$, (2.79) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \wedge (1 < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{b}h[\bar{a}j\bar{b}]] = \bar{a}),$

(2.80) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \wedge (1 < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow ([[\bar{b}h\bar{a}]j\bar{b}] = \bar{a}),$

(2.81) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \wedge (1 \leq \bar{b}) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a} + e\bar{b}] = [\bar{a}e[\bar{a} + e[\bar{b} - 1]]]),$

(2.82) $\top\_1\_1\_ = [1 - 1],$

(2.83) $\top\_1\_[1 + 1]\_ = 1,$

(2.84) $\bot\_1\_1\_ = 1,$

(2.85) $\bot\_1\_[1 + 1]\_ = 1,$

(2.86) $\top\_k\_[[[1 + 1] + +l] - 1]\_ = \top\_[k - 1]\_l\_,$

(2.87) $\bot\_k\_[[[1 + 1] + +l] - 1]\_ = \bot\_[k - 1]\_l\_,$

(2.88) $\top\_k\_[[1 + 1] + +l]\_ = [\top\_[k - 1]\_l\_ + \top\_[k - 1]\_[l + 1]\_],$

(2.89) $\bot\_k\_[[1 + 1] + +l]\_ = [\bot\_[k - 1]\_l\_ + \bot\_[k - 1]\_[l + 1]\_],$

(2.90) $(1 \leq \bar{a}) \wedge (\top\_\bar{b}\_\bar{c}\_) \wedge (\bot\_\bar{b}\_\bar{c}\_) \Rightarrow ([\bar{a} + h[\top\_\bar{b}\_\bar{c}\_ - -\bot\_\bar{b}\_\bar{c}\_]] =$
$[[\bar{a} + h\top\_\bar{b}\_\bar{c}\_] - i\bot\_\bar{b}\_\bar{c}\_])$
$\},$

(2.91) $\Psi\{$

(2.92) $(\bar{a} \subseteq \bar{b}) \Leftrightarrow (\bar{b} = \bar{c}\bar{a}\bar{d}),$

(2.93) $(\bar{a} \rightarrow \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}) \wedge (\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{e}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} \rightarrow \bar{b}\bar{e}\bar{d}),$

(2.94) $(\bar{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{b}}|\bar{\bar{c}}) \Rightarrow ((\bar{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{b}}) \wedge (\bar{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{c}})),$

(2.95) $(\bar{\bar{a}}|\bar{\bar{b}} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{c}}) \Rightarrow ((\bar{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{c}}) \wedge (\bar{\bar{b}} \rightarrow \bar{\bar{c}})),$

(2.96) $(\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow \neg(\bar{b} < \bar{a}),$

(2.97) $(\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \Rightarrow \neg(\bar{a} = \bar{b}),$

(2.98) $(\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \wedge (\bar{b} < \bar{c}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} < \bar{c}),$

(2.99) $(\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \wedge (\bar{a} \in (C \circ C)) \wedge (\bar{b} \in (C \circ C)) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b}),$

(2.100) $(\bar{a} < \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}) \wedge (\bar{c} = \bar{e}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} < \bar{b}\bar{e}\bar{d}),$

(2.101) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} < \bar{d}) \wedge (\bar{b} = \bar{e}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{e}\bar{c} < \bar{d}),$

(2.102) $(\bar{a} < \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}) \wedge (\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{e}) \wedge \neg(\bar{c} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{d}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} < \bar{b}\bar{e}\bar{d}),$

(2.103) $(\bar{a} < \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\bar{c}\bar{e}) \wedge (\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{f}) \wedge \neg(\bar{c} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} < \bar{b}\bar{f}\bar{d}\bar{f}\bar{e}),$

(2.104) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} < \bar{d}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{e}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{e}\bar{c} < \bar{d}),$

(2.105) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} < \bar{d}\bar{b}\bar{e}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{f}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{f}\bar{c} < \bar{d}\bar{f}\bar{e}),$

(2.106) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} < \bar{d}\bar{b}\bar{e}\bar{b}\bar{f}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{g}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{g}\bar{c} < \bar{d}\bar{g}\bar{e}\bar{g}\bar{f}),$

(2.107) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{d} < \bar{e}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{f}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{f}\bar{c}\bar{f}\bar{d} < \bar{e}),$

(2.108) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{d} < \bar{e}\bar{b}\bar{f}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{g}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{g}\bar{c}\bar{g}\bar{d} < \bar{e}\bar{g}\bar{f}),$

(2.109) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{d} < \bar{e}\bar{b}\bar{f}\bar{b}\bar{g}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{h}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{h}\bar{c}\bar{h}\bar{d} < \bar{e}\bar{h}\bar{f}\bar{h}\bar{g}),$

(2.110) $\bar{a} = \bar{a},$

(2.111) $(\bar{a} = \bar{b}) \Rightarrow (\bar{b} = \bar{a}),$

(2.112) $(\bar{a} = \bar{b}) \Rightarrow \neg(\bar{a} < \bar{b}),$

(2.113) $(\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}) \wedge (\bar{c} = \bar{e}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{e}\bar{d})$,
(2.114) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}) \wedge (\bar{b} = \bar{d}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} = \bar{a}\bar{d}\bar{c})$,
(2.115) $(\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}) \wedge (\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{e}) \wedge \neg(\bar{c} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{d}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{e}\bar{d})$,
(2.116) $(\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}\bar{c}\bar{e}) \wedge (\bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{f}) \wedge \neg(\bar{c} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{f}\bar{d}\bar{f}\bar{e})$,
(2.117) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} = \bar{d}\bar{b}\bar{e}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{f}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{f}\bar{c} = \bar{d}\bar{f}\bar{e})$,
(2.118) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} = \bar{d}\bar{b}\bar{e}\bar{b}\bar{f}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{g}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{g}\bar{c} = \bar{d}\bar{g}\bar{e}\bar{g}\bar{f})$,
(2.119) $(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{d} = \bar{e}\bar{b}\bar{f}\bar{b}\bar{g}) \wedge (\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{h}) \wedge \neg(\bar{b} \subseteq \{\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \bar{g}\}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}\bar{h}\bar{c}\bar{h}\bar{d} = \bar{e}\bar{h}\bar{f}\bar{h}\bar{g})$,
(2.120) $(\bar{a} \leq \bar{b}) \Leftrightarrow ((\bar{a} < \bar{b}) \vee (\bar{a} = \bar{b}))$,
(2.121) $(\bar{a} \leq \bar{b}) \wedge (\bar{b} \leq \bar{c}) \Rightarrow (\bar{a} \leq \bar{c})$
}.

The axiom [1, (4.125)] is expanded to be the axiom (2.3). The axioms [1, (4.153)]∼[1, (4.155)] are expanded to be the axioms (2.31)∼(2.34). The axiom (2.35) is added to the real number system. The axioms [1, (4.157)]∼[1, (4.160)] are expanded to be the axioms (2.37)∼(2.40). The axiom [1, (4.161)] is deleted. The axiom [1, (4.167)] is revised to be the axiom (2.46). The axiom [1, (4.172)] is expanded to be the axiom (2.51). The axiom [1, (4.179)] is revised to be the axiom (2.58). The axiom [1, (4.181)] is expanded to be the axiom (2.60). The axiom [1, (4.185)] is revised to be the axiom (2.64). The axioms [1, (4.191)]∼[1, (4.195)] are expanded to be the axioms (2.70)∼(2.75). The axioms [1, (4.197)]∼[1, (4.200)] are expanded to be the axioms (2.77)∼(2.80). The axiom [1, (4.201)] is expanded to be the axiom (2.81). The axiom [1, (4.210)] is expanded to be the axiom (2.90). The axioms (2.120)∼(2.121) are added to the real number system.

It should be noted that (2.82) ∼ (2.90) restrict $[\top\_\bar{b}\_\bar{c}\_--\bot\_\bar{b}\_\bar{c}\_]$ to be a Farey fraction. In the following, we will deduce some numbers and equalities as examples.

$(A1)$	$(a \rightarrow 1\vert[aba]) \Rightarrow (a \rightarrow 1)$	$by(2.16),(2.94)$
$(A2)$	$\Rightarrow (a \rightarrow [aba])$	$by(2.16),(2.94)$
$(A3)$	$\Rightarrow (a \rightarrow [[aba]ba])$	$by(A2),(2.93)$
$(A4)$	$\Rightarrow (a \rightarrow [[1-1]-1])$	$by(A1),(2.17)$
$(A5)$	$(a < [1+a]) \Rightarrow (1 < [1+1])$	$by(2.30),(A1),(2.105)$
$(A6)$	$\Rightarrow 1$	$by(2.99)$
$(A7)$	$\Rightarrow [1+1]$	$by(A5),(2.99)$
$(A8)$	$\Rightarrow ([aba] < [1+[aba]])$	$by(A5),(A2),(2.105)$
$(A9)$	$\Rightarrow ([1+1] < [1+[1+1]])$	$by(A1),(2.17),(2.105)$
$(A10)$	$\Rightarrow ([1-1] < [1+[1-1]])$	$by(A8),(A1),(2.17),(2.105)$
$(A11)$	$\Rightarrow ([1-1] < [[1-1]+1])$	$by(2.44),(2.100)$
$(A12)$	$\Rightarrow ([1-1] < 1)$	$by(2.45),(2.100)$
$(A13)$	$\Rightarrow ([1-1] < [1+[1+1]])$	$by(A12),(A5),(A9),(2.98)$
$(A14)$	$\Rightarrow [1-1]$	$by(A12),(2.99)$
$(A15)$	$\Rightarrow [1+[1+1]]$	$by(A13),(2.99)$
$(A16)$	$\Rightarrow ([1-1] < [1+1])$	$by(A12),(A5),(2.98)$

$$(A17)\quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]--[1+1]]<[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]])\quad by(A16),(A9),(2.32)$$
$$(A18)\quad \Rightarrow (1<[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]])\quad by(2.52),(2.101)$$
$$(A19)\quad \Rightarrow [[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]\quad by(2.99)$$
$$\vdots\qquad\qquad\vdots\qquad\qquad\vdots$$

Then we deduce the numbers from $R\{\Phi,\Psi\}$:

$$[1-1],[[1-1]-[[1+1]----[1+1]]],[[1+[1+1]]----[1+1]]\cdots$$

$$(B1)\quad (\top\_k\_[[1+1]++l]\_=[\top\_[k-1]\_l\_+\top\_[k-1]\_[l+1]\_])\quad by(2.88)$$
$$(B2)\quad \Rightarrow (\top\_[1+1]\_[[1+1]++l]\_=[\top\_[[1+1]-1]\_l\_+ \top\_[[1+1]-1]\_[l+1]\_])\quad by(2.28),(2.94),(2.118)$$
$$(B3)\quad \Rightarrow (\top\_[1+1]\_[[1+1]++1]\_=[\top\_[[1+1]-1]\_1\_+ \top\_[[1+1]-1]\_[1+1]\_])\quad by(2.29),(2.94),(2.118)$$
$$(B4)\quad \Rightarrow (\top\_[1+1]\_[[1+1]++1]\_=[\top\_[[1-1]+1]\_1\_+ \top\_[[1-1]+1]\_[1+1]\_])\quad by(2.46),(2.113)$$
$$(B5)\quad \Rightarrow (\top\_[1+1]\_[[1+1]++1]\_=[\top\_1\_1\_+\top\_1\_[1+1]\_])\quad by(2.45),(2.113)$$
$$(B6)\quad \Rightarrow (\top\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_=[\top\_1\_1\_+\top\_1\_[1+1]\_])\quad by(2.51),(2.111),(2.113)$$
$$(B7)\quad \Rightarrow (\top\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_=[[1-1]+1])\quad by(2.82),(2.83),(2.113)$$
$$(B8)\quad \Rightarrow (\top\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_=1)\quad by(2.45),(2.113)$$
$$(B9)\quad \Rightarrow ([1-1]<\top\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_)\quad by(A12),(2.100)$$
$$(B10)\quad \Rightarrow (\top\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_)\quad by(2.99)$$
$$(B11)\quad (\bot\_k\_[[1+1]++l]\_=[\bot\_[k-1]\_l\_+\bot\_[k-1]\_[l+1]\_])\quad by(2.89)$$
$$(B12)\quad \Rightarrow (\bot\_[1+1]\_[[1+1]++l]\_=[\bot\_[[1+1]-1]\_l\_+ \bot\_[[1+1]-1]\_[l+1]\_])\quad by(2.28),(2.94),(2.118)$$
$$(B13)\quad \Rightarrow (\bot\_[1+1]\_[[1+1]++1]\_=[\bot\_[[1+1]-1]\_1\_+ \bot\_[[1+1]-1]\_[1+1]\_])\quad by(2.29),(2.94),(2.118)$$
$$(B14)\quad \Rightarrow (\bot\_[1+1]\_[[1+1]++1]\_=[\bot\_[[1-1]+1]\_1\_+ \bot\_[[1-1]+1]\_[1+1]\_])\quad by(2.46),(2.113)$$
$$(B15)\quad \Rightarrow (\bot\_[1+1]\_[[1+1]++1]\_=[\bot\_1\_1\_+\bot\_1\_[1+1]\_])\quad by(2.45),(2.113)$$
$$(B16)\quad \Rightarrow (\bot\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_=[\bot\_1\_1\_+\bot\_1\_[1+1]\_])\quad by(2.51),(2.111),(2.113)$$
$$(B17)\quad \Rightarrow (\bot\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_=[1+1])\quad by(2.84),(2.85)$$

$$(B18) \quad \Rightarrow ([1-1] < \bot\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_) \quad by(A16),(2.100)$$

$$(B19) \quad \Rightarrow (\bot\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_) \quad by(2.99)$$

$$(B20) \quad ([[1+1]+e[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]] = [[1+1]e[[1+1]+e[[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]-1]]]) \quad by(A5),(A18),(A16),(2.81)$$

$$(B21) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++e[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]] = [[1+1]+e[[1+1]++e[[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]-1]]]) \quad by(2.19),(2.118)$$

$$(B22) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]+++e[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]] = [[1+1]++e[[1+1]+++e[[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]-1]]]) \quad by(2.19),(2.118)$$

$$(B23) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]] = [[1+1]+++[[1+1]++++[[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]-1]]]) \quad by(2.19),(2.118)$$

$$(B24) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]] = [[1+1]+++[[1+1]++++[[[1--[1+1]]+[[1+1]--[1+1]]]-1]]]) \quad by(2.58),(2.113)$$

$$(B25) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]] = [[1+1]+++[[1+1]++++[[[1--[1+1]]+1]-1]]]) \quad by(A16),(2.52),(2.113)$$

$$(B26) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]] = [[1+1]+++[[1+1]++++[[[1--[1+1]]-1]+1]]]) \quad by(2.46),(2.113)$$

$$(B27) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]] = [[1+1]+++[[1+1]++++[1--[1+1]]]]) \quad by(2.45),(2.113)$$

$$(B28) \quad ([[1+1]+h[\top\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_--\bot\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_]] = [[[1+1]+h\top\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_]-i\bot\_[1+1]\_[1+1]\_]) \quad by(A5),(B10),(B19),(2.90)$$

$$(B29) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]+h[1--[1+1]]] = [[[1+1]+h1]-i[1+1]]) \quad by(B8),(B17),(2.117)$$

$$(B30) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++h[1--[1+1]]] = [[[1+1]++h1]--i[1+1]]) \quad by(2.14),(2.23)$$

$$(B31) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]+++h[1--[1+1]]] = [[[1+1]+++h1]---i[1+1]]) \quad by(2.14),(2.23)$$

$$(B32) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++[1--[1+1]]] = [[[1+1]++++1]----[1+1]]) \quad by(2.14),(2.22)$$

$$(B33) \quad ([[1+1]+e1] = [1+1]) \quad by(A5),(2.70)$$

$$(B34) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++e1] = [1+1]) \quad by(2.19),(2.111),(2.115)$$

$$(B35) \quad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]+++e1] = [1+1]) \quad by(2.19),(2.111),(2.115)$$

$$(B36) \qquad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++1]=[1+1]) \qquad by(2.19),(2.111),(2.115)$$

$$(B37) \qquad \Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++[1--[1+1]]]=[[1+1]----[1+1]]) \qquad by(B32),(B36),(2.113)$$

$$\begin{aligned}(B38) \qquad &\Rightarrow ([[1+1]++++[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]]= \\ &[[1+1]+++\,[[1+1]----[1+1]]]]) \qquad by(B27),(B37),(2.113)\end{aligned}$$

$$\vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots$$

Then we deduce the equalities on deducible numbers from $R\{\Phi,\Psi\}$:

$$\begin{gathered}[[1+1]++[[1+1]---\,[1+1]]]=[[[1+1]---\,[1+1]]++[1+1]],\\ [[1+1]++++[[1+[1+1]]--[1+1]]]=[[1+1]+++\,[[1+1]----[1+1]]]\\ \vdots\end{gathered}$$

The deducible numbers correspond to the real numbers as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1-1]-[[1+[1+1]]----[1+1]]] & \equiv & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1-1]-[[1+1]---\,[1+1]]] & \equiv & -\sqrt[2]{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1-1]-[[1+1]---\,[1+[1+1]]]] & \equiv & -\sqrt[3]{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1-1]-1] & \equiv & -1, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1-1]-[1--[1+1]]] & \equiv & -\dfrac{1}{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[1-1] & \equiv & 0, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[1--[1+1]] & \equiv & \dfrac{1}{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1+1]///[1+[1+1]]] & \equiv & \log_3 2, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
1 & \equiv & 1,
\end{array}$$

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1+1]---[1+[1+1]]] & \equiv & \sqrt[3]{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1+1]---[1+1]] & \equiv & \sqrt[2]{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[1+[1--[1+1]]] & \equiv & \frac{3}{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1+[1+1]]///[1+1]] & \equiv & \log_2 3, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1+[1+1]]----[1+1]] & \equiv & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[1+1] & \equiv & 2, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[1+1]+[1--[1+1]]] & \equiv & \frac{5}{2}, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[1+[1+1]] & \equiv & 3, \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots, \\
[[[1+[1+1]]----[1+1]]++[1+1]] & \equiv & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots.
\end{array}
$$

3. Root-finding Methods For A Special Equation

Common root-finding methods and their convergence conditions are listed in [4, TABLE PT2.3]. In this section, we only consider the special equation as follows:

(1) The equation are continuous on a closed interval $[\check{a}, \check{b}]$;
(2) The equation have only one root on $[\check{a}, \check{b}]$;

When $\check{a}$ acts as the lower guess and $\check{b}$ acts as the upper guess, both the bisection method[4, §5.2] and Brent's method[4, §6.4] always converge and find the only root on $[\check{a}, \check{b}]$. But Brent's method converges faster than the bisection method and thus becomes the main root-finding method for above equation.

4. Numerical Computations For Real Number System

4.1. **Computation Precedence.**

Definition 4.1. In real number system, unary numerical computation takes the number 1 as the only operand and outputs the approximated decimal expansion 1.0.

Definition 4.2. In real number system, binary numerical computation takes two decimal expansions as operands and outputs an approximated decimal expansion.

According to §2, each real number except 1 is iteratively deduced between '[' and ']' from only one real operation and the other two real numbers. So after iterative unary numerical computation on given real number, iterative binary numerical computation on the given real number can gradually approximate all real numbers between the outmost '[' and ']' with decimal expansions.

In summary, the computation priority is sorted in descending order as follows:

1. Unary numerical computations have precedence over binary numerical computations.
2. Left unary numerical computations have precedence over right unary numerical computations.
3. Binary numerical computations on two decimal expansions have precedence over those involving a non-decimal expansion.
4. Left binary numerical computations have precedence over right binary numerical computations.

Unary numerical computation is easy, so we focus on binary numerical computation in the following subsections.

4.2. **Division Of Real Operations.** According to the complexity of numerical computations, we divide real operations into low operations, middle operations and high operations. Table 2 lists their elements in detail.

Table 2. Division Of Real Operations.

	Low Operations	**Middle Operations**	**High Operations**
Operators	$+, ++, -, --, /, //$	$+++, ---, ///$	$++++, +++++, \cdots,$ $----, -----, \cdots,$ $////, /////, \cdots$

4.3. **Binary Numerical Computations For Low Operations.** In real number system, $/$ is equal to $-$ while $//$ is equal to $--$. From a traditional viewpoint, the low operations "$+, ++, -, --$" are equal to basic arithmetic operations "$+, \times, -, \div$". So binary numerical computations for low operations have been achieved in elementary arithmetic.

4.4. **Binary Numerical Computations For Middle Operations.** From a traditional viewpoint, $+++$ is an exponentiation operation, $---$ is a root-extraction operation and $///$ is a logarithm operation. In this subsection, we import the binary numerical computations for the middle operations "$+++, ---, ///$" in [2, §23].

Let $\check{e}$ be Euler's number. Suppose that $\check{a} \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ is a decimal expansion, $\check{n} \in Z$ and $\check{k} \in N$. The binary numerical computation for $[\check{e} +++ \check{a}]$ can be achieved with the

Taylor-series expansion as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
[\check{e}+++\check{a}] &= \left[\lim_{\check{k}\to+\infty}\left(\sum_{\check{n}=0}^{\check{k}}[[\check{a}+++\check{n}]--[\check{n}!]]\right)\right] \\
&\approx [1+[\check{a}--[1!]]+[[\check{a}+++2]--[2!]]+[[\check{a}+++3]--[3!]]+\cdots+ \\
&\quad [[\check{a}+++\check{n}]--[\check{n}!]]+\cdots]
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\check{a}\in(0,+\infty)$ is a decimal expansion and $\check{n}\in Z$. Let $\check{b}=[[\check{a}-1]--[\check{a}+1]]$, then the binary numerical computation for $[\check{a}///\check{e}]$ can be achieved with the Taylor-series expansion as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
[\check{a}///\check{e}] &= [[[1+\check{b}]--[1-\check{b}]]///\check{e}] \\
&= \left[2++\left[\lim_{\check{k}\to+\infty}\left(\sum_{\check{n}=0}^{\check{k}}[[\check{b}+++[2\check{n}+1]]--[2\check{n}+1]]\right)\right]\right] \\
&\approx [2++[\check{b}+[[\check{b}+++3]--3]+[[\check{b}+++5]--5]+\cdots+ \\
&\quad [[\check{b}+++[2\check{n}+1]]--[2\check{n}+1]]+\cdots]]
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ are two decimal expansions, where $\check{a}\in(0,+\infty)$ and $\check{b}\in(-\infty,+\infty)$. Then the binary numerical computation for $[\check{a}+++\check{b}]$ can be divided and conquered with the identity $[\check{a}+++\check{b}]=[\check{e}+++[\check{b}++[\check{a}///\check{e}]]]$.

Suppose that $[\check{a}+++\check{b}]$ is a real number, where $\check{a}\in(-\infty,0]$ and $\check{b}\in(-\infty,+\infty)$ are two decimal expansions. Then the binary numerical computation for $[\check{a}+++\check{b}]$ can always be equated with the basic binary numerical computations as above and basic arithmetic operations with the axioms (2.1)∼(2.69).

4.5. **Binary Numerical Computations For High Operations.** The floor function $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ and mod operator are imported from [3, §1]. Suppose that the constants $\check{n}$, $\check{a}_1$, $\check{a}_2$, $\check{b}_1$, $\check{b}_2$, $\check{c}_1$, $\check{c}_2\in N$. Suppose that the constant $\check{d}\in R$ with $[1-1]<\check{d}$. Suppose that the constant $\check{e}\in R$ with $1<\check{e}$.

Zero can be equated with a fraction $[[1-1]--1]$. Any non-zero decimal expansion can be equated with a fraction $[[1-1]\pm[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]]$ for $\check{a}_1,\check{a}_2\in N$.

The Euclidean Algorithm(EA)[3, §4] can compute the greatest common divisor $(\check{a}_1,\check{a}_2)$. If $\check{a}_1$ and $\check{a}_2$ are divided by $(\check{a}_1,\check{a}_2)$, then the fraction $[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$ is fully reduced to irreducible fraction. So EA suffices to equate any non-zero decimal expansion with an irreducible fraction. The irreducible fraction of a fraction $\check{a}$ is denoted as $I(\check{a})$.

Theorem 4.3. *For a function $f:R\setminus(-\infty,1)\to R$ defined by $f(\check{x})=[\check{x}+++e\check{d}]$, it is injective continuous and maps the domain $[1,+\infty)$ to the range $[1,+\infty)$.*

Proof. According to (2.19), the symbol 'e' represents some successive '+'—"$+\cdots+$". According to (2.20), the symbol 'f' represents some successive '−'—"$-\cdots-$". According to (2.21), the symbol ‘g’ represents some successive ‘/’—“$/ \cdots /$”.

$(A1)$ Suppose that $\check{x}_1, \check{x}_2 \in [1, +\infty)$ with $\check{x}_1 \neq \check{x}_2$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\check{x}_1 < \check{x}_2$.

$(A2)$ $\Rightarrow (1 \leq \check{x}_1) \wedge (\check{x}_1 < \check{x}_2)$

$(A3)$ $[1 - 1] < \check{d}$ by (Premise)

$(A4)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_1 +++ e\check{d}] < [\check{x}_2 +++ e\check{d}]$ by (A2),(A3),(2.37)

$(A5)$ $f(\check{x}_1) = [\check{x}_1 +++ e\check{d}]$ by (Premise)

$(A6)$ $\Rightarrow f(\check{x}_1) < [\check{x}_2 +++ e\check{d}]$ by (A4),(A5),(2.101)

$(A7)$ $f(\check{x}_2) = [\check{x}_2 +++ e\check{d}]$ by (Premise)

$(A8)$ $\Rightarrow f(\check{x}_1) < f(\check{x}_2)$ by (A6),(A7),(2.100)

$(A9)$ $\Rightarrow \neg(f(\check{x}_1) = f(\check{x}_2))$ by (2.97)

(A1)$\sim$(A9) derive that $f(\check{x})$ is injective. (A1)$\sim$(A8) derive that $f(\check{x})$ is strictly increasing.

For any number $\check{x}_0 \in [1, +\infty)$ and any number $[1 - 1] < \varepsilon$, we can always construct δ_0 as follows:

$(B1)$ $[1 +++ e\check{d}] \leq [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]$ by (2.37),(2.110)

$(B2)$ $\Rightarrow 1 \leq [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]$ by (2.73),(2.101)

$(B3)$ $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] \leq [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - 1]$ by (2.31)

$(B4)$ $[1 - 1] < \varepsilon$ by (Premise)

$(B5)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] -- [1 + 1]] < [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.32)

$(B6)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 -- [1 + 1]] - [1 -- [1 + 1]]] < [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.58), (2.101)

$(B7)$ $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] < [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.43), (2.101)

$(B8)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]]] < [[\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] + [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]]]$ by (2.31)

$(B9)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]]] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.58), (2.100)

$(B10)$ $\Rightarrow [[\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] + [1 - 1]] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.44), (2.101)

$(B11)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] + 1] - 1] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.48), (2.101)

$(B12)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] - 1] + 1] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.46), (2.101)

$(B13)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.45), (2.101)

$(B14)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] < [[\varepsilon ++ [1 + 1]] -- [1 + 1]]$ by (2.55),(2.51), (2.100)

$(B15)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] < [\varepsilon ++ [[1 + 1] -- [1 + 1]]]$ by (2.59),(2.100)

$(B16)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] < [\varepsilon ++ 1]$ by (2.52),(2.100)

$(B17)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]] < \varepsilon$ by (2.51),(2.100)

$(B18)$ $\delta_0 = [\varepsilon -- [1 + 1]]$

We construct δ according to $[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0]$.

(1) $1 \leq [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0]$.

We construct δ as follows:

(C1) $\delta_1 = [\check{x}_0 - [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]]$

(C2) $\delta_2 = [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0]$

(C3) $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$

(D1) $\delta_0 = [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]$ by (B18)

(D2) $\Rightarrow [1-1] < \delta_0$ by (B7),(D1), (2.100)

(D3) $[\varepsilon - -[1+1]] < \varepsilon$ by (B17)

(D4) $\Rightarrow \delta_0 < \varepsilon$ by (D3),(D1), (2.101)

(D5) $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ by (C3)

(D6) $\Rightarrow \delta \le \delta_1$

(D7) $\Rightarrow \delta \le \delta_2$

(D8) $\delta_1 = [\check{x}_0 - [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]]$ by (C1)

(D9) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [\check{x}_0 - [\check{x}_0 - [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]]]$ by (2.114)

(D10) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0] + [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]]$ by (2.50),(2.113)

(D11) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] + [\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0]]$ by (2.44),(2.113)

(D12) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] + \check{x}_0] - \check{x}_0]$ by (2.48),(2.113)

(D13) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0] + \check{x}_0]$ by (2.46),(2.113)

(D14) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]$ by (2.45),(2.113)

(D15) $1 \le [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]$ by (Premise),(2.34), (2.74)

(D16) $\Rightarrow 1 \le [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1]$ by (D14),(D15), (2.100)

(D17) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] \le [\check{x}_0 - \delta]$ by (D6),(2.31)

(D18) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] +++ e\check{d}] \le [[\check{x}_0 - \delta] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (D17),(2.37), (2.114)

(D19) $\Rightarrow [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}] \le$
$[[\check{x}_0 - \delta] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (D14),(2.101)

(D20) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] \le [[\check{x}_0 - \delta] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (2.77),(2.101)

(D21) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \varepsilon] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0]$ by (D4),(2.31)

(D22) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \varepsilon] < [[\check{x}_0 - \delta] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (D21),(D20), (2.98)

(D23) $\Rightarrow [f(\check{x}_0) - \varepsilon] < f([\check{x}_0 - \delta])$ by (Premise)

(D24) $\delta_2 = [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0]$ by (C2)

(D25) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta_2] = [\check{x}_0 + [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0]]$ by (2.114)

(D26) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta_2] = [[[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0] + \check{x}_0]$ by (2.44),(2.113)

(D27) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta_2] = [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]$ by (2.45),(2.113)

(D28) $[1 - 1] < \delta_0$ by (D2)

(D29) $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + 1] < [\delta_0 + 1]$ by (D28),(2.31)

(D30) $\Rightarrow 1 < [\delta_0 + 1]$ by (2.45),(2.101)

(D31) $\Rightarrow 1 < [1 + \delta_0]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

(D32) $1 \leq [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]$ by (B2)

(D33) $\Rightarrow [1 + \delta_0] \leq [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.31)

(D34) $\Rightarrow 1 < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (D31),(D33),(2.98)

(D35) $\Rightarrow 1 < [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]$ by (2.34)

(D36) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + \delta_2] +++ e\check{d}] =$
$[[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (D27),(D35),(2.114)

(D37) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + \delta_2] +++ e\check{d}] = [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.77),(2.113)

(D38) $\delta_0 < \varepsilon$ by (D4)

(D39) $\Rightarrow [\delta_0 + [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]] < [\varepsilon + [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]]$ by (2.31)

(D40) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] < [\varepsilon + [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]]$ by (2.44),(2.101)

(D41) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \varepsilon]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

(D42) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + \delta_2] +++ e\check{d}] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \varepsilon]$ by (D41),(D37),(2.101)

(D43) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - [1 - 1]]$ by (D2),(2.31)

(D44) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] < [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - 1] + 1]$ by (2.50),(2.100)

(D45) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] < [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]$ by (2.45),(2.100)

(D46) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] =$
$[[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (Premise),(2.77)

(D47) $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] =$
$[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (B2),(2.77)

(D48) $\Rightarrow [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}] <$
$[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (D45),(D46),(D47),(2.100),(2.101)

(D49) $\Rightarrow [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] --- f\check{d}]$ by (D15),(D48),(2.34),(2.38)

$(D50)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] < \check{x}_0$ by (Premise),(2.78), (2.100)

$(D51)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0] < [\check{x}_0 - [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]]$ by (2.31)

$(D52)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0] < \delta_1$ by (C1),(2.100)

$(D53)$ $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] < \delta_1$ by (2.43),(2.101)

$(D54)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]] < [\delta_0 + [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]]$ by (D2),(2.31)

$(D55)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}]] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(D56)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + [1 - 1]] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.44),(2.101)

$(D57)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + 1] - 1] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.48),(2.101)

$(D58)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] - 1] + 1] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.46),(2.101)

$(D59)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.45),(2.101)

$(D60)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] =$
$[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (B2),(2.77)

$(D61)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] =$
$[[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (D34),(2.77)

$(D62)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}] <$
$[[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] +++ e\check{d}]$ by (D59),(D60), (D61),(2.100), (2.101)

$(D63)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] --- f\check{d}] < [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]$ by (D35),(2.77), (D62),(2.38)

$(D64)$ $\Rightarrow \check{x}_0 < [[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}]$ by (Premise),(2.78), (2.101)

$(D65)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0] < [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0]$ by (2.31)

$(D66)$ $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] < [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ e\check{d}] + \delta_0] --- f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0]$ by (2.43),(2.101)

$(D67)$ $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] < \delta_2$ by (C2),(2.100)

$(D68)$ $[1 - 1] < \delta$ by (D5),(D53), (D67)

$(D69)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + 1] < [\delta + 1]$ by (2.31)

$(D70)$ $\Rightarrow 1 < [\delta + 1]$ by (2.45),(2.101)

$(D71)$ $\Rightarrow 1 < [1 + \delta]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(D72)$ $1 \leq \check{x}_0$ by (Premise)

$(D73)$ $\Rightarrow [1 + \delta] \leq [\check{x}_0 + \delta]$ by (2.31)

$(D74)$ $\Rightarrow 1 < [\check{x}_0 + \delta]$ by (D71),(D73), (2.98)

$(D75)$ $\Rightarrow [\delta + \check{x}_0] \leq [\delta_2 + \check{x}_0]$ by (D7),(2.31)

$(D76)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta] \leq [\delta_2 + \check{x}_0]$ $\quad$ by (2.44),(2.101)

$(D77)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta] \leq [\check{x}_0 + \delta_2]$ $\quad$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(D78)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + \delta] +++ \check{ed}] \leq [[\check{x}_0 + \delta_2] +++ \check{ed}]$ $\quad$ by (D74),(D77),(2.37)

$(D79)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + \delta] +++ \check{ed}] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ \check{ed}] + \varepsilon]$ $\quad$ by (D78),(D42),(2.98)

$(D80)$ $\quad \Rightarrow f([\check{x}_0 + \delta]) < [f(\check{x}_0) + \varepsilon]$ $\quad$ by (Premise)

Since $f(\check{x})$ is strictly increasing, $f(\check{x}_0 - \delta) < f(\check{x})$ and $f(\check{x}) < f(\check{x}_0 + \delta)$ hold for all $\check{x} \in (\check{x}_0 - \delta, \check{x}_0 + \delta)$. (D23) and (D80) derive that $[f(\check{x}_0) - \varepsilon] < f(\check{x})$ and $f(\check{x}) < [f(\check{x}_0) + \varepsilon]$ hold for all $\check{x} \in (\check{x}_0 - \delta, \check{x}_0 + \delta)$.

(2) $[[\check{x}_0 +++ \check{ed}] - \delta_0] < 1$.

We construct δ as follows:

$$(E1) \quad \delta = [[[[\check{x}_0 +++ \check{ed}] + \delta_0] --- \check{fd}] - \check{x}_0]$$

$(F1)$ $\quad [[\check{x}_0 +++ \check{ed}] - \delta_0] < 1$ $\quad$ by (Premise)

$(F2)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ \check{ed}] - [\varepsilon -- [1+1]]] < 1$ $\quad$ by (B18),(2.101)

$(F3)$ $\quad [1-1] < \varepsilon$ $\quad$ by (Premise)

$(F4)$ $\quad 1 < [1+1]$ $\quad$ by (2.30)

$(F5)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [1-1] < [[1+1]-1]$ $\quad$ by (2.31)

$(F6)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [1-1] < [[1-1]+1]$ $\quad$ by (2.46)

$(F7)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [1-1] < 1$ $\quad$ by (2.45)

$(F8)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\varepsilon -- [1+1]] < [\varepsilon -- 1]$ $\quad$ by (F3),(F4),(F7),(2.33)

$(F9)$ $\quad [\varepsilon -- 1] = \varepsilon$ $\quad$ by (2.51)

$(F10)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\varepsilon -- [1+1]] < \varepsilon$ $\quad$ by (F8),(F9),(2.100)

$(F11)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ \check{ed}] - \varepsilon] < [[\check{x}_0 +++ \check{ed}] - [\varepsilon -- [1+1]]]$ $\quad$ by (2.31)

$(F12)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 +++ \check{ed}] - \varepsilon] < 1$ $\quad$ by (F11),(F2),(2.98)

$(F13)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [f(\check{x}_0) - \varepsilon] < 1$

$(F14)$ $\quad f(1) = [1 +++ \check{ed}]$

$(F15)$ $\quad \Rightarrow f(1) = 1$ $\quad$ by (2.73)

$(F16)$ $\quad \Rightarrow 1 \leq f(\check{x})$ $\quad$ by (A1)$\sim$(A8),(2.101)

$(F17)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [f(\check{x}_0) - \varepsilon] < f(\check{x})$ $\quad$ by (F13),(F16),(2.98)

$(F18)$ $\quad \delta_0 = [\varepsilon -- [1+1]]$ $\quad$ by (B18)

$(F19)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [1-1] < \delta_0$ $\quad$ by (B7),(F18),(2.100)

$(F20)$ $\quad [\varepsilon -- [1+1]] < \varepsilon$ $\quad$ by (D9)

$(F21)$ $\quad \Rightarrow \delta_0 < \varepsilon$ $\quad$ by (F20),(F18),

(2.101)

$(F22)$ $\delta = [[[[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0] - - - f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0]$ by (E1)

$(F23)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta] = [\check{x}_0 + [[[[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0] - - - f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0]]$ by (2.114)

$(F24)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta] = [[[[[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0] - - - f\check{d}] - \check{x}_0] + \check{x}_0]$ by (2.44),(2.113)

$(F25)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta] = [[[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0] - - - f\check{d}]$ by (2.45),(2.113)

$(F26)$ $[1 - 1] < \delta_0$ by (F19)

$(F27)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + 1] < [\delta_0 + 1]$ by (F26),(2.31)

$(F28)$ $\Rightarrow 1 < [\delta_0 + 1]$ by (2.45),(2.101)

$(F29)$ $\Rightarrow 1 < [1 + \delta_0]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(F30)$ $1 \leq [\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}]$ by (B2)

$(F31)$ $\Rightarrow [1 + \delta_0] \leq [[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.31)

$(F32)$ $\Rightarrow 1 < [[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (F29),(F31), (2.121)

$(F33)$ $\Rightarrow 1 < [[[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0] - - - f\check{d}]$ by (2.34)

$(F34)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + \delta] + + + e\check{d}] =$
$[[[[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0] - - - f\check{d}] + + + e\check{d}]$ by (F25),(F33), (2.114)

$(F35)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + \delta] + + + e\check{d}] = [[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0]$ by (2.77),(2.113)

$(F36)$ $\delta_0 < \varepsilon$ by (F21)

$(F37)$ $\Rightarrow [\delta_0 + [\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}]] < [\varepsilon + [\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}]]$ by (2.31)

$(F38)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0] < [\varepsilon + [\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}]]$ by (2.44),(2.101)

$(F39)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \delta_0] < [[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \varepsilon]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(F40)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{x}_0 + \delta] + + + e\check{d}] < [[\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}] + \varepsilon]$ by (F39),(F35), (2.101)

$(F41)$ $\Rightarrow f([\check{x}_0 + \delta]) < [f(\check{x}_0) + \varepsilon]$ by (Premise)

Since $f(\check{x})$ is strictly increasing, $f(1) \leq f(\check{x})$ and $f(\check{x}) < f(\check{x}_0 + \delta)$ hold for all $\check{x} \in (\check{x}_0 - \delta, \check{x}_0 + \delta)$. (F17) and (F41) derive that $[f(\check{x}_0) - \varepsilon] < f(\check{x})$ and $f(\check{x}) < [f(\check{x}_0) + \varepsilon]$ hold for all $\check{x} \in (\check{x}_0 - \delta, \check{x}_0 + \delta)$.

Items 2(d)i∼2(d)ii derive that $f(\check{x})$ is continuous.

(F16) derives that $1 \leq f(\check{x})$ holds on the domain $[1, +\infty)$. For any number $1 \leq \varepsilon$, (2.34) and (2.74) always derive that $[[\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] + 1] \in [1, +\infty)$. So there always exists $\check{x}_0 = [[\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] + 1]$ on the domain $[1, +\infty)$.

$(G1)$ $[1 - 1] < 1$ by (F7)

$(G2)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}]] < [1 + [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}]]$ by (2.31)

$(G3)$ $\Rightarrow [[\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] + [1 - 1]] < [1 + [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}]]$ by (2.44),(2.101)

$(G4)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] + 1] - 1] < [1 + [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}]]$ by (2.48),(2.101)

$(G5)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] - 1] + 1] < [1 + [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}]]$ by (2.46),(2.101)

$(G6)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] < [1 + [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}]]$ by (2.45),(2.101)

$(G7)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] < [[\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] + 1]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(G8)$ $\check{x}_0 = [[\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] + 1]$ by (Premise)

$(G9)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] < \check{x}_0$ by (G7),(G8),(2.100)

$(G10)$ $\Rightarrow [[\varepsilon - - - f\check{d}] + + + e\check{d}] < [\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}]$ by (2.34),(2.74),(2.37)

$(G11)$ $\Rightarrow \varepsilon < [\check{x}_0 + + + e\check{d}]$ by (Premise),(2.77),(2.101)

$(G12)$ $\Rightarrow \varepsilon < f(\check{x}_0)$ by (Premise)

(G1)∼(G12) derive that $f(\check{x})$ is unbounded. Since $f(\check{x})$ is both continuous and unbounded on the domain $[1, +\infty)$, it maps the domain $[1, +\infty)$ to the range $[1, +\infty)$. □

Theorem 4.4. *For a function* $f : R \setminus (-\infty, [1-1]) \to R$ *defined by* $f(\check{x}) = [\check{e} + + + e\check{x}]$, *it is injective continuous and maps the domain* $[[1-1], +\infty)$ *to the range* $[1, +\infty)$.

Proof. According to (2.19), the symbol 'e' represents some successive '+'—"$+ \cdots +$". According to (2.20), the symbol 'f' represents some successive '−'—"$- \cdots -$". According to (2.21), the symbol 'g' represents some successive '/'—"$/ \cdots /$".

$(A1)$ Suppose that $\check{x}_1, \check{x}_2 \in [[1-1], +\infty)$ with $\check{x}_1 \neq \check{x}_2$.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $\check{x}_1 < \check{x}_2$.

$(A2)$ $\Rightarrow ([1-1] \leq \check{x}_1) \wedge (\check{x}_1 < \check{x}_2)$

$(A3)$ $1 < \check{e}$ by (Premise)

$(A4)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_1] < [\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_2]$ by (A2),(A3),(2.39)

$(A5)$ $f(\check{x}_1) = [\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_1]$ by (Premise)

$(A6)$ $\Rightarrow f(\check{x}_1) < [\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_2]$ by (A4),(A5),(2.101)

$(A7)$ $f(\check{x}_2) = [\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_2]$ by (Premise)

$(A8)$ $\Rightarrow f(\check{x}_1) < f(\check{x}_2)$ by (A6),(A7),(2.100)

$(A9)$ $\Rightarrow \neg(f(\check{x}_1) = f(\check{x}_2))$ by (2.97)

(A1)∼(A9) derive that $f(\check{x})$ is injective. (A1)∼(A8) derive that $f(\check{x})$ is strictly increasing.

For any number $\check{x}_0 \in [[1-1], +\infty)$ and any number $[1-1] < \varepsilon$, we can always construct δ_0 as follows:

$(B1)$ $[\check{e} + + + e[1-1]] \leq [\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0]$ by (2.39),(2.110)

$(B2)$ $\Rightarrow 1 \leq [\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0]$ by (2.71),(2.101)

$(B3)$ $\Rightarrow [1-1] \leq [[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - 1]$ by (2.31)

$(B4)$ $[1-1] < \varepsilon$ by (Premise)

$(B5)$ $\Rightarrow [[1-1] - -[1+1]] < [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]$ by (2.32)

$(B6)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - -[1+1]] - [1 - -[1+1]]] < [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]$ by (2.58), (2.101)

$(B7)$ $\Rightarrow [1-1] < [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]$ by (2.43), (2.101)

$(B8)$ $\Rightarrow [[1-1] + [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]] < [[\varepsilon - -[1+1]] + [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]]$ by (2.31)

$(B9)$ $\Rightarrow [[1-1] + [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] - -[1+1]]$ by (2.58), (2.100)

$(B10)$ $\Rightarrow [[\varepsilon - -[1+1]] + [1-1]] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] - -[1+1]]$ by (2.44), (2.101)

$(B11)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\varepsilon - -[1+1]] + 1] - 1] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] - -[1+1]]$ by (2.48), (2.101)

$(B12)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\varepsilon - -[1+1]] - 1] + 1] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] - -[1+1]]$ by (2.46), (2.101)

$(B13)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon - -[1+1]] < [[\varepsilon + \varepsilon] - -[1+1]]$ by (2.45), (2.101)

$(B14)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon - -[1+1]] < [[\varepsilon + +[1+1]] - -[1+1]]$ by (2.55),(2.51), (2.100)

$(B15)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon - -[1+1]] < [\varepsilon + +[[1+1] - -[1+1]]]$ by (2.59),(2.100)

$(B16)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon - -[1+1]] < [\varepsilon + +1]$ by (2.52),(2.100)

$(B17)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon - -[1+1]] < \varepsilon$ by (2.51),(2.100)

$(B18)$ $\delta_0 = [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]$

We construct δ according to $[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]$.

(1) $1 \leq [[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]$.

We construct δ as follows:

$(C1)$ $\delta_1 = [\check{x}_0 - [[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}]]$

$(C2)$ $\delta_2 = [[[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\check{e}] - \check{x}_0]$

$(C3)$ $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$

$(D1)$ $\delta_0 = [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]$ by (B18)

$(D2)$ $\Rightarrow [1-1] < \delta_0$ by (B7),(D1), (2.100)

$(D3)$ $[\varepsilon - -[1+1]] < \varepsilon$ by (B17)

$(D4)$ $\Rightarrow \delta_0 < \varepsilon$ by (D3),(D1), (2.101)

$(D5)$ $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ by (C3)

$(D6)$ $\Rightarrow \delta \leq \delta_1$

$(D7)$ $\Rightarrow \delta \leq \delta_2$

$(D8)$ $\delta_1 = [\check{x}_0 - [[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}]]$ by (C1)

$(D9)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [\check{x}_0 - [\check{x}_0 - [[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}]]]$ by (2.114)

$(D10)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0] + [[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}]]$ by (2.50),(2.113)

$(D11)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}] + [\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0]]$ by (2.44),(2.113)

$(D12)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[[[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}] + \check{x}_0] - \check{x}_0]$ by (2.48),(2.113)

$(D13)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[[[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}] - \check{x}_0] + \check{x}_0]$ by (2.46),(2.113)

$(D14)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] = [[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}]$ by (2.45),(2.113)

$(D15)$ $[1-1] \leq [[[\check{e} + + + e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\check{e}]$ by (Premise),(2.36)

$(D16)$ $\Rightarrow [1-1] < [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1]$ by (D14),(D15), (2.100)

$(D17)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \delta_1] \leq [\check{x}_0 - \delta]$ by (D6),(2.31)

(D18) $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e[\breve{x}_0 - \delta_1]] \leq [\breve{e} +++ e[\breve{x}_0 - \delta]]$ by (D17),(2.39),(2.114)

(D19) $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e[[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]] \leq [\breve{e} +++ e[\breve{x}_0 - \delta]]$ by (D14),(2.101)

(D20) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \delta_0] \leq [\breve{e} +++ e[\breve{x}_0 - \delta]]$ by (2.79),(2.101)

(D21) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \varepsilon] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \delta_0]$ by (D4),(2.31)

(D22) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \varepsilon] < [\breve{e} +++ e[\breve{x}_0 - \delta]]$ by (D21),(D20),(2.98)

(D23) $\Rightarrow [f(\breve{x}_0) - \varepsilon] < f([\breve{x}_0 - \delta])$ by (Premise)

(D24) $\delta_2 = [[[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}] - \breve{x}_0]$ by (C2)

(D25) $\Rightarrow [\breve{x}_0 + \delta_2] = [\breve{x}_0 + [[[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}] - \breve{x}_0]]$ by (2.114)

(D26) $\Rightarrow [\breve{x}_0 + \delta_2] = [[[[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}] - \breve{x}_0] + \breve{x}_0]$ by (2.44),(2.113)

(D27) $\Rightarrow [\breve{x}_0 + \delta_2] = [[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]$ by (2.45),(2.113)

(D28) $[1 - 1] < \delta_0$ by (D2)

(D29) $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + 1] < [\delta_0 + 1]$ by (D28),(2.31)

(D30) $\Rightarrow 1 < [\delta_0 + 1]$ by (2.45),(2.101)

(D31) $\Rightarrow 1 < [1 + \delta_0]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

(D32) $1 \leq [\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0]$ by (B2)

(D33) $\Rightarrow [1 + \delta_0] \leq [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ by (2.31)

(D34) $\Rightarrow 1 < [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ by (D31),(D33),(2.98)

(D35) $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] < [[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]$ by (2.35)

(D36) $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e[\breve{x}_0 + \delta_2]] = [\breve{e} +++ e[[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]]$ by (D27),(D35),(2.114)

(D37) $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e[\breve{x}_0 + \delta_2]] = [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ by (2.79),(2.113)

(D38) $\delta_0 < \varepsilon$ by (D4)

(D39) $\Rightarrow [\delta_0 + [\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0]] < [\varepsilon + [\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0]]$ by (2.31)

(D40) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0] < [\varepsilon + [\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0]]$ by (2.44),(2.101)

(D41) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \delta_0] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \varepsilon]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

(D42) $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e[\breve{x}_0 + \delta_2]] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] + \varepsilon]$ by (D41),(D37),(2.101)

(D43) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \delta_0] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - [1 - 1]]$ by (D2),(2.31)

(D44) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \delta_0] < [[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - 1] + 1]$ by (2.50),(2.100)

(D45) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \delta_0] < [\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0]$ by (2.45),(2.100)

(D46) $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \delta_0] = [\breve{e} +++ e[[[\breve{e} +++ e\breve{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]]$ by (Premise),(2.79)

$(D47)$ $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] = [\breve{e} +++ e[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]///g\breve{e}]]$ by (B2),(2.79)

$(D48)$ $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e[[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]] < [\breve{e} +++ e[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]///g\breve{e}]]$ by (D45),(D46),(D47),(2.100),(2.101)

$(D49)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\breve{e}] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]///g\breve{e}]$ by (D15),(D48),(2.35),(2.40)

$(D50)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\breve{e}] < \check{x}_0$ by (Premise),(2.80),(2.100)

$(D51)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0] < [\check{x}_0 - [[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] - \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]]$ by (2.31)

$(D52)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0] < \delta_1$ by (C1),(2.100)

$(D53)$ $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] < \delta_1$ by (2.43),(2.101)

$(D54)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + [\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]] < [\delta_0 + [\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]]$ by (D2),(2.31)

$(D55)$ $\Rightarrow [[1 - 1] + [\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(D56)$ $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + [1 - 1]] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ by (2.44),(2.101)

$(D57)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + 1] - 1] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ by (2.48),(2.101)

$(D58)$ $\Rightarrow [[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] - 1] + 1] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ by (2.46),(2.101)

$(D59)$ $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] < [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ by (2.45),(2.101)

$(D60)$ $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] = [\breve{e} +++ e[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]///g\breve{e}]]$ by (B2),(2.79)

$(D61)$ $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] = [\breve{e} +++ e[[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]]$ by (D34),(2.79)

$(D62)$ $\Rightarrow [\breve{e} +++ e[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]///g\breve{e}]] < [\breve{e} +++ e[[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]]$ by (D59),(D60),(D61),(2.100),(2.101)

$(D63)$ $\Rightarrow [[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]///g\breve{e}] < [[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]$ by (D35),(D62),(2.35),(2.40)

$(D64)$ $\Rightarrow \check{x}_0 < [[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}]$ by (Premise),(2.80),(2.101)

$(D65)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 - \check{x}_0] < [[[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}] - \check{x}_0]$ by (2.31)

$(D66)$ $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] < [[[[\breve{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]///g\breve{e}] - \check{x}_0]$ by (2.43),(2.101)

$(D67)$ $\Rightarrow [1 - 1] < \delta_2$ by (C2),(2.100)

$(D68)$ $[1 - 1] < \delta$ by (D5),(D53),(D67)

$(D69)$ $[1 - 1] \leq \check{x}_0$ by (Premise)

$(D70)$ $\Rightarrow [[1-1]+\delta] \leq [\check{x}_0+\delta]$ by (2.31)

$(D71)$ $\Rightarrow [\delta+[1-1]] \leq [\check{x}_0+\delta]$ by (2.44),(2.101)

$(D72)$ $\Rightarrow [[\delta+1]-1] \leq [\check{x}_0+\delta]$ by (2.48),(2.101)

$(D73)$ $\Rightarrow [[\delta-1]+1] \leq [\check{x}_0+\delta]$ by (2.46),(2.101)

$(D74)$ $\Rightarrow \delta \leq [\check{x}_0+\delta]$ by (2.45),(2.101)

$(D75)$ $\Rightarrow [1-1] < [\check{x}_0+\delta]$ by (D68),(D74),(2.98)

$(D76)$ $\Rightarrow [\delta+\check{x}_0] \leq [\delta_2+\check{x}_0]$ by (D7),(2.31)

$(D77)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0+\delta] \leq [\delta_2+\check{x}_0]$ by (2.44),(2.101)

$(D78)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{x}_0+\delta] \leq [\check{x}_0+\delta_2]$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(D79)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{e}+++e[\check{x}_0+\delta]] \leq [\check{e}+++e[\check{x}_0+\delta_2]]$ by (D75),(D78),(2.39)

$(D80)$ $\Rightarrow [\check{e}+++e[\check{x}_0+\delta]] < [[\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0]+\varepsilon]$ by (D79),(D42),(2.98)

$(D81)$ $\Rightarrow f([\check{x}_0+\delta]) < [f(\check{x}_0)+\varepsilon]$ by (Premise)

Since $f(\check{x})$ is strictly increasing, $f(\check{x}_0-\delta) < f(\check{x})$ and $f(\check{x}) < f(\check{x}_0+\delta)$ hold for all $\check{x} \in (\check{x}_0-\delta, \check{x}_0+\delta)$. (D23) and (D81) derive that $[f(\check{x}_0)-\varepsilon] < f(\check{x})$ and $f(\check{x}) < [f(\check{x}_0)+\varepsilon]$ hold for all $\check{x} \in (\check{x}_0-\delta, \check{x}_0+\delta)$.

(2) $[[\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0]-\delta_0] < 1$.

We construct δ as follows:

$$(E1) \quad \delta = [[[[\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0]+\delta_0]///g\check{e}]-\check{x}_0]$$

$(F1)$ $[[\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0]-\delta_0] < 1$ by (Premise)

$(F2)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0]-[\varepsilon--[1+1]]] < 1$ by (B18),(2.101)

$(F3)$ $[1-1] < \varepsilon$ by (Premise)

$(F4)$ $1 < [1+1]$ by (2.30)

$(F5)$ $\Rightarrow [1-1] < [[1+1]-1]$ by (2.31)

$(F6)$ $\Rightarrow [1-1] < [[1-1]+1]$ by (2.46)

$(F7)$ $\Rightarrow [1-1] < 1$ by (2.45)

$(F8)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon--[1+1]] < [\varepsilon--1]$ by (F3),(F4),(F7),(2.33)

$(F9)$ $[\varepsilon--1] = \varepsilon$ by (2.51)

$(F10)$ $\Rightarrow [\varepsilon--[1+1]] < \varepsilon$ by (F8),(F9),(2.100)

$(F11)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0]-\varepsilon] < [[\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0]-[\varepsilon--[1+1]]]$ by (2.31)

$(F12)$ $\Rightarrow [[\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0]-\varepsilon] < 1$ by (F11),(F2),(2.98)

$(F13)$ $\Rightarrow [f(\check{x}_0)-\varepsilon] < 1$

$(F14)$ $f([1-1]) = [\check{e}+++e[1-1]]$

$(F15)$ $\Rightarrow f([1-1]) = 1$ by (2.71)

$(F16)$ $\quad \Rightarrow 1 \leq f(\check{x})$ $\quad$ by (A1)∼(A8),(2.101)

$(F17)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [f(\check{x}_0) - \varepsilon] < f(\check{x})$ $\quad$ by (F13),(F16),(2.98)

$(F18)$ $\quad \delta_0 = [\varepsilon - -[1+1]]$ $\quad$ by (B18)

$(F19)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [1-1] < \delta_0$ $\quad$ by (B7),(F18),(2.100)

$(F20)$ $\quad [\varepsilon - -[1+1]] < \varepsilon$ $\quad$ by (D9)

$(F21)$ $\quad \Rightarrow \delta_0 < \varepsilon$ $\quad$ by (F20),(F18),(2.101)

$(F22)$ $\quad \delta = [[[[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] /// g\check{e}] - \check{x}_0]$ $\quad$ by (E1)

$(F23)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta] = [\check{x}_0 + [[[[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] /// g\check{e}] - \check{x}_0]]$ $\quad$ by (2.114)

$(F24)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta] = [[[[[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] /// g\check{e}] - \check{x}_0] + \check{x}_0]$ $\quad$ by (2.44),(2.113)

$(F25)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\check{x}_0 + \delta] = [[[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] /// g\check{e}]$ $\quad$ by (2.45),(2.113)

$(F26)$ $\quad [1-1] < \delta_0$ $\quad$ by (F19)

$(F27)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [[1-1]+1] < [\delta_0 + 1]$ $\quad$ by (F26),(2.31)

$(F28)$ $\quad \Rightarrow 1 < [\delta_0 + 1]$ $\quad$ by (2.45),(2.101)

$(F29)$ $\quad \Rightarrow 1 < [1 + \delta_0]$ $\quad$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(F30)$ $\quad 1 \leq [\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]$ $\quad$ by (B2)

$(F31)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [1 + \delta_0] \leq [[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ $\quad$ by (2.31)

$(F32)$ $\quad \Rightarrow 1 < [[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ $\quad$ by (F29),(F31),(2.98)

$(F33)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [1-1] < [[[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] /// g\check{e}]$ $\quad$ by (2.35)

$(F34)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\check{e} +++ e[\check{x}_0 + \delta]] =$

$\quad [\check{e} +++ e[[[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] /// g\check{e}]]$ $\quad$ by (F25),(F33),(2.114)

$(F35)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\check{e} +++ e[\check{x}_0 + \delta]] = [[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0]$ $\quad$ by (2.79),(2.113)

$(F36)$ $\quad \delta_0 < \varepsilon$ $\quad$ by (F21)

$(F37)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\delta_0 + [\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]] < [\varepsilon + [\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]]$ $\quad$ by (2.31)

$(F38)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] < [\varepsilon + [\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0]]$ $\quad$ by (2.44),(2.101)

$(F39)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \delta_0] < [[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \varepsilon]$ $\quad$ by (2.44),(2.100)

$(F40)$ $\quad \Rightarrow [\check{e} +++ e[\check{x}_0 + \delta]] < [[\check{e} +++ e\check{x}_0] + \varepsilon]$ $\quad$ by (F39),(F35),(2.101)

$(F41)$ $\quad \Rightarrow f([\check{x}_0 + \delta]) < [f(\check{x}_0) + \varepsilon]$ $\quad$ by (Premise)

Since $f(\check{x})$ is strictly increasing, $f(1) \leq f(\check{x})$ and $f(\check{x}) < f(\check{x}_0 + \delta)$ hold for all $\check{x} \in (\check{x}_0 - \delta, \check{x}_0 + \delta)$. (F17) and (F41) derive that $[f(\check{x}_0) - \varepsilon] < f(\check{x})$ and $f(\check{x}) < [f(\check{x}_0) + \varepsilon]$ hold for all $\check{x} \in (\check{x}_0 - \delta, \check{x}_0 + \delta)$.

Items 2(d)i∼2(d)ii derive that $f(\check{x})$ is continuous.

(F16) derives that $1 \le f(\check{x})$ holds on the domain $[1,+\infty)$. For any number $1 \le \varepsilon$, (2.35) and (2.75) always derive that $[[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]+1] \in [[1-1],+\infty)$. So there always exists $\check{x}_0 = [[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]+1]$ on the domain $[1,+\infty)$.

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
(G1) & [1-1] < 1 & \text{by (F7)} \\
(G2) & \Rightarrow [[1-1]+[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]] < [1+[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]] & \text{by (2.31)} \\
(G3) & \Rightarrow [[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]+[1-1]] < [1+[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]] & \text{by (2.44),(2.101)} \\
(G4) & \Rightarrow [[[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]+1]-1] < [1+[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]] & \text{by (2.48),(2.101)} \\
(G5) & \Rightarrow [[[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]-1]+1] < [1+[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]] & \text{by (2.46),(2.101)} \\
(G6) & \Rightarrow [\varepsilon///g\check{e}] < [1+[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]] & \text{by (2.45),(2.101)} \\
(G7) & \Rightarrow [\varepsilon///g\check{e}] < [[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]+1] & \text{by (2.44),(2.100)} \\
(G8) & \check{x}_0 = [[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]+1] & \text{by (Premise)} \\
(G9) & \Rightarrow [\varepsilon///g\check{e}] < \check{x}_0 & \text{by (G7),(G8),(2.100)} \\
(G10) & \Rightarrow [\check{e}+++e[\varepsilon///g\check{e}]] < [\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0] & \text{by (2.35),(2.75),(2.39)} \\
(G11) & \Rightarrow \varepsilon < [\check{e}+++e\check{x}_0] & \text{by (Premise),(2.79),(2.101)} \\
(G12) & \Rightarrow \varepsilon < f(\check{x}_0) & \text{by (Premise)}
\end{array}$$

(G1)∼(G12) derive that $f(\check{x})$ is unbounded. Since $f(\check{x})$ is both continuous and unbounded on the domain $[[1-1],+\infty)$, it maps the domain $[[1-1],+\infty)$ to the range $[1,+\infty)$. □

In the following, we classify the binary numerical computations for high operations.

(1) Binary numerical computation for operations $++++$, $----$, $////$.

(a) A binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\check{n}]$ with $1 < [\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$ can be achieved.

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
(A1) & [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\check{n}] = & \\
 & [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]+++[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++[\check{n}-1]]] & \text{by (2.81)} \\
(A2) & \text{Let us distinguish these } [\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2] \text{ with} & \\
 & \text{the subscripts } \{(1),(2),(3),\cdots\} & \\
(A3) & \Rightarrow [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\check{n}] = & \\
 & [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(1)}+++[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(2)}+++\cdots & \\
 & [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(\check{n}-1)}+++[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(\check{n})}++++1]]\cdots]] & \text{by (2.81)} \\
(A4) & \Rightarrow [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\check{n}] = & \\
 & [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(1)}+++[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(2)}+++\cdots & \\
 & [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(\check{n}-1)}+++[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(\check{n})}]\cdots]] & \text{by (2.70)}
\end{array}$$

Then (A1)∼(A4) have reduced one $++++$ operation to many $+++$ operations. Since §4.4 has achieved the binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]+++\check{n}]$, (A1)∼(A4) can achieve a binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\check{n}]$.

(b) A binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----\check{n}]$ with $1<[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$ can be achieved.

The binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----\check{n}]$ is equated with the numerical root finding of the equation $\check{x}=[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----\check{n}]$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(A1) & \check{x}=[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----\check{n}] & \\
(A2) & \Rightarrow[\check{x}++++\check{n}]= & \\
 & [[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----\check{n}]++++\check{n}] & \text{by (2.114)} \\
(A3) & \Rightarrow[\check{x}++++\check{n}]=[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2] & \text{by (2.77),(2.24),(2.25)}
\end{array}
$$

According to (2.37)∼(2.40), the function $f(\check{x})=[\check{x}++++\check{n}]$ is defined on the domain $[1,+\infty)$. Theorem 4.3 implies that $f(\check{x})$ is continuous on the domain $[1,[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]]$.

(2.73) derives that $[1++++\check{n}]=1$. So (2.101) derives that $[1++++\check{n}]<[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$. (2.70) derives that $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++1]=[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$. (2.39) derives that $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++1]\leq[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\check{n}]$. So (2.101) derives that $[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]\leq[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\check{n}]$. In summary, both $[1++++\check{n}]<[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$ and $[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]\leq[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\check{n}]$ hold.

Then Intermediate Value Theorem derives that $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[1,[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]]$. Since Theorem 4.3 implies that $f(\check{x})$ has no root on the domain $([\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2],+\infty)$, $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[1,+\infty)$. Since $f(\check{x})$ belongs to the special equation in §3, Brent's method can find the only root of $f(\check{x})$ and achieve the binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----\check{n}]$.

(c) A binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++[\check{b}_1--\check{b}_2]]$ with $1<[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$ can be achieved.

(i) $[\check{b}_1--\check{b}_2]\leq 1$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(A1) & I([\check{b}_1--\check{b}_2])= & \\
 & [\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_--\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] & \text{by (EA),} \\
 & & \text{(2.82)}\sim\text{(2.89)} \\
(A2) & \Rightarrow[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++[\check{b}_1--\check{b}_2]]= & \\
 & [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++ & \\
 & [\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_--\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]] & \text{by (2.114)} \\
(A3) & \Rightarrow[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++[\check{b}_1--\check{b}_2]]= & \\
 & [[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] & \\
 & ----\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] & \text{by (2.90),(2.113)}
\end{array}
$$

The variable $[\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_--\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]$ represents some irreducible fraction in the Farey sequence. $\check{b}_1,\check{b}_2\in N$ can derive that $\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_$, $\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_\in N$. Then the items 1a and 1b can further achieve the binary numerical computation for (A3).

(ii) $1 < [\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]$.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
(B1) & \lfloor[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]\rfloor = \check{n} & \\
(B2) & \Rightarrow [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] - \check{n}] < 1 & \\
(B3) & \Rightarrow I([[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] - \check{n}]) = & \\
 & [\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] & \text{by (B1),(B2), (EA), (2.82)}\sim\text{(2.89)} \\
(B4) & \Rightarrow [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = & \\
 & [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] & \\
 & + + + + [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] - 1]]] & \text{by (2.81)} \\
(B5) & \text{Let us distinguish these } [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] & \\
 & \text{with the subscripts} & \\
 & \{(1), (2), (3), \cdots\} & \\
(B6) & \Rightarrow [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = & \\
 & [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(1)} + + + [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(2)} & \\
 & + + + \cdots [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(\check{n}-1)} + ++ & \\
 & [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(\check{n})} + + + +[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] - \check{n}]]] & \\
 & \cdots]] & \text{by (2.81)} \\
(B7) & \Rightarrow [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = & \\
 & [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(1)} + + + [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(2)} & \\
 & + + + \cdots [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(\check{n}-1)} + ++ & \\
 & [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(\check{n})} + + + + & \\
 & [\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]]] \cdots]] & \text{by (B3),(2.114)}
\end{array}$$

Then the item 2(c)i can further achieve the binary numerical computation for (B7).

(d) A binary numerical computation for $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ with $1 < [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]$ can be achieved.

The binary numerical computation for $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ is equated with the numerical root finding of the equation $\breve{x} = [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
(A1) & \breve{x} = [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] & \\
(A2) & \Rightarrow [\breve{x} + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = & \\
 & [[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] & \\
 & + + + + [\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] & \text{by (2.114)} \\
(A3) & \Rightarrow [\breve{x} + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] & \text{by (2.77),(2.24),(2.25)}
\end{array}$$

(i) $1 < [\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]$.

According to (2.37)∼(2.40), the function $f(\check{x}) = [\check{x} + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ is defined on the domain $[1, +\infty)$. Theorem 4.3 implies that $f(\check{x})$ is continuous on the domain $[1, [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]]$.

(2.73) derives that $[1 + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = 1$. So (2.101) derives that $[1 + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$. (2.70) derives that $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +1] = [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$. Since $1 < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$, (2.39) and (2.73)derive that $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +1] < [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$. So (2.101) derives that $[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] < [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$. In summary, both $[1 + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$ and $[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] < [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ hold.

Then Intermediate Value Theorem derives that $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[1, [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]]$. Since Theorem 4.3 implies that $f(\check{x})$ has no root on the domain $([\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2], +\infty)$, $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[1, +\infty)$. Since $f(\check{x})$ belongs to the special equation in §3, Brent's method can find the only root of $f(\check{x})$ and achieve the binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] - - - -[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$.

(ii) $[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] \leq 1$.

$$(B1) \qquad I([\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]) = [\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \qquad \text{by (EA), (2.82)}\sim\text{(2.89)}$$

$$(B2) \qquad \Rightarrow [\check{x} + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = [\check{x} + + + +[\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]] \qquad \text{by (2.114)}$$

$$(B3) \qquad \Rightarrow [\check{x} + + + +[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = [[\check{x} + + + +\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \qquad \text{by (2.90),(2.113)}$$

$$(B4) \qquad \Rightarrow [[\check{x} + + + +\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] = [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] \qquad \text{by (A3),(2.113)}$$

$$(B5) \qquad [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +[1 - 1]] \leq [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \qquad \text{by (2.39)}$$

$$(B6) \qquad \Rightarrow 1 \leq [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \qquad \text{by (2.71),(2.101)}$$

$$(B7) \qquad \Rightarrow ([[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_])$$

$$(B8) \qquad \Rightarrow [[[\check{x} + + + +\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] + + + +\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] = [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \qquad \text{by (B4),(B7), (2.114)}$$

$$(B9) \qquad \Rightarrow [\check{x} + + + +\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] = [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \qquad \text{by (2.77),(2.113)}$$

$$(B10) \qquad 1 \leq [[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + +\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - -\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \qquad \text{by (B6),(2.34), (2.74)}$$

(B11) $\Rightarrow ([[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + \perp\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - - \top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_])$ by (2.99)

(B12) $\Rightarrow [[\check{x} + + + + \top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - - \top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] = [[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + \perp\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - - \top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]$ by (B9),(B11), (2.114)

(B13) $\Rightarrow \check{x} = [[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + \perp\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - - \top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]$ by (2.78),(A114)

The variable $[\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - - \perp\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]$ represents some irreducible fraction in the Farey sequence. $\check{b}_1, \check{b}_2 \in N$ can derive that $\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_$, $\perp\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ \in N$. Then the items 1a and 1b can further achieve the binary numerical computation for (B13).

(e) A binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ with $1 < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$ and with $1 < [\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]$ can be achieved.

The binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ is equated with the numerical root finding of the equation $\check{x} = [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$.

(A1) $\check{x} = [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$

(A2) $[1 - 1] < [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ by (2.35)

(A3) $\Rightarrow 1 < [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]]$ by (2.39),(2.71)

(A4) $\Rightarrow ([[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]])$ by (2.99)

(A5) $\Rightarrow [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + \check{x}] = [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]]$ by (2.114)

(A6) $\Rightarrow [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + \check{x}] = [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$ by (2.79),(2.113)

According to (2.37)∼(2.40), the function $f(\check{x}) = [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + \check{x}]$ is defined on the domain $[[1-1], +\infty)$. Theorem 4.4 implies that we can always increase $\check{x}_0$ until $[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] < [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + \check{x}_0]$ holds. Theorem 4.4 implies that $f(\check{x})$ is continuous on the domain $[[1 - 1], \check{x}_0]$.

(2.71) derives that $[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + [1 - 1]] = 1$. So (2.101) derives that $[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + [1 - 1]] < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$. In summary, both $[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + [1 - 1]] < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$ and $[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] < [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + \check{x}_0]$ hold.

Then Intermediate Value Theorem derives that $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[[1 - 1], \check{x}_0]$. Since Theorem 4.4 implies that $f(\check{x})$ has no root on the domain $(\check{x}_0, +\infty)$, $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[[1-1], +\infty)$. Since $f(\check{x})$ belongs to the special equation in §3, Brent's method can find the only root of $f(\check{x})$ and achieve the binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$.

(2) If the binary numerical computations for $[\check{a}+++e\check{b}]$, $[\check{a}---f\check{b}]$, $[\check{a}///g\check{b}]$ are achieved, then the binary numerical computations for $[\check{a}++++e\check{b}]$, $[\check{a}----f\check{b}]$, $[\check{a}////g\check{b}]$ are also achieved.

According to (2.19), the symbol 'e' represents some successive '+'—"$+\cdots+$". According to (2.20), the symbol 'f' represents some successive '$-$'—"$-\cdots-$". According to (2.21), the symbol 'g' represents some successive '/'—"$/\cdots/$".

(a) A binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e\check{n}]$ with $1<[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$ can be achieved.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A1) && [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e\check{n}] = \\
& && [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]+++e[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e[\check{n}-1]]] && \text{by (2.81)} \\
&(A2) && \text{Let us distinguish these } [\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2] \text{ with} \\
& && \text{the subscripts } \{(1),(2),(3),\cdots\} \\
&(A3) && \Rightarrow [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e\check{n}] = \\
& && [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(1)}+++e[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(2)}+++e\cdots \\
& && [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(\check{n}-1)}+++e[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(\check{n})}++++e1]]\cdots]] && \text{by (2.81)} \\
&(A4) && \Rightarrow [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e\check{n}] = \\
& && [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(1)}+++e[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(2)}+++e\cdots \\
& && [[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(\check{n}-1)}+++e[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]_{(\check{n})}]\cdots]] && \text{by (2.70)}
\end{aligned}$$

Then (A1)∼(A4) have reduced one $++++e$ operation to many $+++e$ operations. Since the binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]+++e\check{n}]$ is supposed to be achieved, (A1)∼(A4) can achieve a binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e\check{n}]$.

(b) A binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----f\check{n}]$ with $1<[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$ can be achieved.

The binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----f\check{n}]$ is equated with the numerical root finding of the equation $\check{x}=[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----f\check{n}]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A1) && \check{x}=[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----f\check{n}] \\
&(A2) && \Rightarrow [\check{x}++++e\check{n}] = \\
& && [[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]----f\check{n}]++++e\check{n}] && \text{by (2.114)} \\
&(A3) && \Rightarrow [\check{x}++++e\check{n}] = [\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2] && \text{by (2.77),(2.24),(2.25)}
\end{aligned}$$

According to (2.37)∼(2.40), the function $f(\check{x})=[\check{x}++++e\check{n}]$ is defined on the domain $[1,+\infty)$. Theorem 4.3 implies that $f(\check{x})$ is continuous on the domain $[1,[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]]$.

(2.73) derives that $[1++++e\check{n}]=1$. So (2.101) derives that $[1++++e\check{n}]<[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$. (2.70) derives that $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e1]=[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$. (2.39) derives that $[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e1]\le[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e\check{n}]$. So (2.101) derives that $[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]\le[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e\check{n}]$. In summary, both $[1++++e\check{n}]<[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]$ and $[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]\le[[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]++++e\check{n}]$ hold.

Then Intermediate Value Theorem derives that $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[1,[\check{a}_1--\check{a}_2]]$. Since Theorem 4.3 implies that $f(\check{x})$ has no root on the domain $([\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2], +\infty)$, $f(\breve{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[1, +\infty)$. Since $f(\breve{x})$ belongs to the special equation in §3, Brent's method can find the only root of $f(\breve{x})$ and achieve the binary numerical computation for $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - - f\check{n}]$.

(c) A binary numerical computation for $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + + e[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ with $1 < [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]$ can be achieved.

  (i) $[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] \leq 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(A1) && I([\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]) = \\
& && [\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (EA),} \\
& && && \text{(2.82)}\sim\text{(2.89)} \\
&(A2) && \Rightarrow [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + + e[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = \\
& && [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + + e \\
& && [\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]] && \text{by (2.114)} \\
&(A3) && \Rightarrow [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + + e[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = \\
& && [[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + + e\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \\
& && - - - - f\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (2.90),(2.113)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The variable $[\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]$ represents some irreducible fraction in the Farey sequence. $\check{b}_1, \check{b}_2 \in N$ can derive that $\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_$, $\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ \in N$. Then the items 2a and 2b can further achieve the binary numerical computation for (A3).

  (ii) $1 < [\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(B1) && \lfloor[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]\rfloor = \check{n} \\
&(B2) && \Rightarrow [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] - \check{n}] < 1 \\
&(B3) && \Rightarrow I([[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] - \check{n}]) = \\
& && [\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (B1),(B2),} \\
& && && \text{(EA),} \\
& && && \text{(2.82)}\sim\text{(2.89)} \\
&(B4) && \Rightarrow [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + + e[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = \\
& && [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + e[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] \\
& && + + + + e[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] - 1]]] && \text{by (2.81)} \\
&(B5) && \text{Let us distinguish these } [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] \\
& && \text{with the subscripts} \\
& && \{(1), (2), (3), \cdots\} \\
&(B6) && \Rightarrow [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + + e[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = \\
& && [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(1)} + + + e[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(2)} \\
& && + + + e \cdots [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(\check{n}-1)} + + + e \\
& && [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(\check{n})} + + + + e[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] - \check{n}]]] \\
& && \cdots]] && \text{by (2.81)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(B7)\quad & \Rightarrow [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] = \\
& [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(1)} + + + e[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(2)} \\
& + + +e \cdots [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(\breve{n}-1)} + + + e \\
& \quad [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]_{(\breve{n})} + + + +e \\
& [\top\_\breve{c}_1\_\breve{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\breve{c}_1\_\breve{c}_2\_]]] \cdots]] \qquad \text{by (B3),(2.114)}
\end{aligned}$$

Then the item 2(c)i can further achieve the binary numerical computation for (B7).

(d) A binary numerical computation for $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -f[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]]$ with $1 < [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]$ can be achieved.

The binary numerical computation for $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -f[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]]$ is equated with the numerical root finding of the equation $\breve{x} = [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -f[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(A1)\quad & \breve{x} = [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -f[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] \\
(A2)\quad & \Rightarrow [\breve{x} + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] = \\
& [[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -f[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] \\
& \quad + + + + e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] \qquad \text{by (2.114)} \\
(A3)\quad & \Rightarrow [\breve{x} + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] = [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] \quad \text{by (2.77),(2.24),(2.25)}
\end{aligned}$$

(i) $1 < [\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]$.

According to (2.37)$\sim$(2.40), the function $f(\breve{x}) = [\breve{x} + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]]$ is defined on the domain $[1, +\infty)$. Theorem 4.3 implies that $f(\breve{x})$ is continuous on the domain $[1, [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]]$.

(2.73) derives that $[1 + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] = 1$. So (2.101) derives that $[1 + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] < [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]$. (2.70) derives that $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +e1] = [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]$. Since $1 < [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]$, (2.39) and (2.73)derive that $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +e1] < [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]]$. So (2.101) derives that $[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] < [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]]$. In summary, both $[1 + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] < [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]$ and $[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] < [[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]]$ hold.

Then Intermediate Value Theorem derives that $f(\breve{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[1, [\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2]]$. Since Theorem 4.3 implies that $f(\breve{x})$ has no root on the domain $([\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2], +\infty)$, $f(\breve{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[1, +\infty)$. Since $f(\breve{x})$ belongs to the special equation in §3, Brent's method can find the only root of $f(\breve{x})$ and achieve the binary numerical computation for $[[\breve{a}_1 - -\breve{a}_2] - - - -f[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]]$.

(ii) $[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2] \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(B1)\quad & I([\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]) = \\
& [\top\_\breve{c}_1\_\breve{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\breve{c}_1\_\breve{c}_2\_] \qquad \text{by (EA),} \\
& \qquad \text{(2.82)}\sim\text{(2.89)} \\
(B2)\quad & \Rightarrow [\breve{x} + + + +e[\breve{b}_1 - -\breve{b}_2]] = \\
& [\breve{x} + + + +e[\top\_\breve{c}_1\_\breve{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\breve{c}_1\_\breve{c}_2\_]] \qquad \text{by (2.114)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(B3) && \Rightarrow [\check{x} + + + + e[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] = \\
& && [[\check{x} + + + + e\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - - f\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (2.90),(2.113)} \\
&(B4) && \Rightarrow [[\check{x} + + + + e\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - - f\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] = \\
& && [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] && \text{by (A3),(2.113)} \\
&(B5) && [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e[1 - 1]] \leq \\
& && [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (2.39)} \\
&(B6) && \Rightarrow 1 \leq [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (2.71),(2.101)} \\
&(B7) && \Rightarrow ([[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]) \\
&(B8) && \Rightarrow [[[\check{x} + + + + e\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - - f\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \\
& && + + + + e\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] = [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e \\
& && \bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (B4),(B7),} \\
& && && \text{(2.114)} \\
&(B9) && \Rightarrow [\check{x} + + + + e\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] = [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e \\
& && \bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (2.77),(2.113)} \\
&(B10) && 1 \leq [[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \\
& && - - - - f\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (B6),(2.34),} \\
& && && \text{(2.74)} \\
&(B11) && \Rightarrow ([[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (2.99)} \\
& && - - - - f\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]) \\
&(B12) && \Rightarrow [[\check{x} + + + + e\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] - - - - f\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] = \\
& && [[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \\
& && - - - - f\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (B9),(B11),} \\
& && && \text{(2.114)} \\
&(B13) && \Rightarrow \check{x} = [[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] + + + + e\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] \\
& && - - - - f\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_] && \text{by (2.78),(A114)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The variable $[\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ - -\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_]$ represents some irreducible fraction in the Farey sequence. $\check{b}_1, \check{b}_2 \in N$ can derive that $\top\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_$, $\bot\_\check{c}_1\_\check{c}_2\_ \in N$. Then the items 2a and 2b can further achieve the binary numerical computation for (B13).

(e) A binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ with $1 < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$ and with $1 < [\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]$ can be achieved.

The binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$ is equated with the numerical root finding of the equation $\check{x} = [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(A1) && \check{x} = [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] \\
&(A2) && [1 - 1] < [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]] && \text{by (2.35)} \\
&(A3) && \Rightarrow 1 < [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + + e \\
& && [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]] && \text{by (2.39),(2.71)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(A4) & \Rightarrow ([[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e & \\
 & [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]]) & \text{by } (2.99) \\
(A5) & \Rightarrow [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e\check{x}] = & \\
 & [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e & \\
 & [[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]] & \text{by } (2.114) \\
(A6) & \Rightarrow [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e\check{x}] = [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] & \text{by } (2.79),(2.113)
\end{array}
$$

According to (2.37)∼(2.40), the function $f(\check{x}) = [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e\check{x}]$ is defined on the domain $[[1-1], +\infty)$. Theorem 4.4 implies that we can always increase $\check{x}_0$ until $[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] < [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e\check{x}_0]$ holds. Theorem 4.4 implies that $f(\check{x})$ is continuous on the domain $[[1-1], \check{x}_0]$.

(2.71) derives that $[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e[1-1]] = 1$. So (2.101) derives that $[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e[1-1]] < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$. In summary, both $[[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e[1-1]] < [\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]$ and $[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2] < [[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2] + + + +e\check{x}_0]$ hold.

Then Intermediate Value Theorem derives that $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[[1-1], \check{x}_0]$. Since Theorem 4.4 implies that $f(\check{x})$ has no root on the domain $(\check{x}_0, +\infty)$, $f(\check{x})$ has only one root on the domain $[[1-1], +\infty)$. Since $f(\check{x})$ belongs to the special equation in §3, Brent's method can find the only root of $f(\check{x})$ and achieve the binary numerical computation for $[[\check{a}_1 - -\check{a}_2]////g[\check{b}_1 - -\check{b}_2]]$.

(3) By induction, the binary numerical computations for $[\check{a} + + + +\check{b}]$, $[\check{a} + + + + + +\check{b}]$, $[\check{a} + + + + + + +\check{b}]$, $\cdots$, $[\check{a} - - - -\check{b}]$, $[\check{a} - - - - - -\check{b}]$, $[\check{a} - - - - - - -\check{b}]$, $\cdots$, $[\check{a}////\check{b}]$, $[\check{a}/////\check{b}]$, $[\check{a}//////\check{b}]$, $\cdots$ are all achieved.

REFERENCES

[1] Pith Xie, A logical calculus to intuitively and logically denote number systems, Progress in Applied Mathematics, Vol.1, No.2, (2011), 43-70.

[2] Behrooz Parhami, Computer Arithmetic : Algorithms and Hardware Designs, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[3] Victor Shoup, A Computational Introduction to Number Theory and Algebra, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2008.

[4] Steven C. Chapra and Raymond P. Canale, Numerical Methods for Engineers, Sixth Edition, McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2009.

AXIOM STUDIO, PO BOX #383, GULOU POST OFFICE, GULOU DISTRICT, NANJING, 210008, CHINA
E-mail address: `pith.xie@gmail.com`